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MS. DAWSON: Okay. We'll get started. Good evening, everyone. On behalf of Battery Park City Authority, I would like to welcome all of you to the NorthWest Battery Park City Resiliency Project Post-Thirty Percent Design Update. I'm Gwen Dawson. I'm the senior vice president of your property at the Authority. And it is so nice to see all of you here, and I want to express our sincere thanks, gratitude, for you spending some time with us this evening, a couple hours, to share with us your thoughts and ideas and to get a little bit more information about what we've been doing since the last time we were together in advancing this critical project.

Our design team has been working very diligently to put together a great presentation for you. I know you've seen -- had the chance to see a few of the pieces of that out in the hallway coming in. You'll get to see a lot more, and they're going to do their very best to answer your questions and to have you go away this evening, feeling that you've gotten a really good picture of -- of where we are. So without further ado $I$ will turn it over to Nora Madonick, who's going to be our master of ceremonies for the evening.

MS. MADONICK: Thank you, Gwen. And hi, everybody. I'm glad to see everyone here tonight, and -- and I want to say hello to everybody who is joining us online. We are live streaming as well. So tonight is our tenth community meeting. In June, we shared an update about the 30 -percent design milestone for the project, and since that time, the project team has been working to advance the design even further. They've been doing an analyses. They've been working with stakeholders and, of course, considering public input, which we have collected in meetings, in site walks, and from the emails that you have sent us online.

So tonight's meeting is going to focus on post-30-percent design. So we'll be looking at an update there. And while updates have been completed in all of the reaches, we are going to be focusing on three tonight. The three that we'll focus on are Reach 1, and the team is going to share an update on the alignment along Route 9A and the 9A Crossing. They'll also present an updated focus on the eastside alignment. For Reach 2, they will be sharing a revised extension that will accommodate future adaptability and circulation. And for Reach 5, they'll be sharing an update about coordination with
the port authority, as well as design considerations at North Cove Marina.

After the presentation -- the presentation will be about 40 minutes, and after the presentation, we'll do a Q\&A. It won't be a long $Q \& A$ because we have something even after that. But we do want to hear from you, so we left -- for your convenience, if you'd like to use them -- cards, that if -- that as something comes to you during the presentation, you may want to jot down what you want to ask. At the point at which we do a $Q \& A$, we'll have someone coming around with a microphone. You'll be able to ask your question, and we'll answer as many as we can.

We would ask you to keep it as brief as you can, so we can get to as many people as possible. If you're participating virtually today, we'll be collecting the written comments from you using the Q\&A. There's a Q\&A feature on your Zoom, so please put your comments in there. We'll be collecting them. They'll be sent to me, and I'll be reading them here.

So we're going to get started, and after the $Q \& A$, we're going to break up to take a look at some tables. We have table topics on three topics, and you'll be able to visit the tables and talk with
the project team in more depth about topics that have been of interest in particular to the public that we've heard from. I'll be back and remind you about how we'll handle the $Q \& A$, but for now, I'm going to hand things over to Peter. And he's going to take us on a walkthrough where we are in post-30-percent.

MR. GLUS: Thank you. Good evening, everybody. My name is Peter Glus. I'm with the design team here for the project, and what we're going to do right now is go through a number of slides which have a lot of images and representations of the project. I actually have about 165 slides, so I'm going to try to move through them fairly quickly, so that we can get to some $Q \& A$ here and then afterwards leave you some time to go to the stations over there to talk about specific projects.
So my -- my ask is if you have
questions on the material that I'm presenting, if you could, wait until $I$ conclude the presentation, and then we'll have 20 minutes for some open $Q \& A$. And then we can continue -- continue to have $Q \& A$ after this or at the tables themselves. So okay. Just as a reminder, this is the identification of the team members, the Battery Park City Authority as the owner with their advisors -- and AKRF. The design build
team is the Turner E. Cruise Joint Venture as well as Arcadis, which is us, and some of our partners, Big Scape and WSB.

And the agenda, as -- as Nora had said, you know -- we have the exhibit -- exhibition area open to the public; the overview presentation, which is this; some $Q \& A$; and then table discussions that are really very specific to some of the topics that we've heard at the numerous community interactions that we've had over the past 12 to 18 months on the project in context, risk and adaptability, and drainage and all about the trees.

So here's a project schedule that shows the development of the design of the project. And right now, we're at the first part of the 60 -percent phase. We've passed the 30 -percent milestone, and right now we're beginning to go into more details on the project elements. And we're progressing through the 60 -percent stage. At that point, we get to what we call "final design," where we actually prepare construction documents, and then that goes into construction sometime in 2025.

So let me get into the material. As we have discussed over the course of our engagement, we've broken the project up into these seven reaches.

It is one singular project, but because each of the areas has sort of unique characteristics, we've broken them up in these reaches for technical ease, to break -- to make it a little bit more digestible for us and for you folks as well.

As Nora said, we really want to
specifically talk about Reaches 1, 2, and 5 because those reaches represent a fairly significant amount of change from some of the original concepts that we've shown you, as a reflection of the feedback that we've received from you on some of the design choices that we've made. But we are going to go through Reaches -okay. We started off talking about Reaches 4, 3, 6, and 7 because we want to give you, again, a sense of where they are. There's been some minor adjustments to those as well. And we want to show you the latest there.

We show this image a lot, which is the reason why the project is in motion, because it shows the vulnerability of the area to the design storm, the hundred-year return period cycle with two and a half feet of climate change. And we can talk more about this, any questions on the hydraulics, the hydrodynamics, the rainfall, the climate change, and the cloudburst. We will talk about it at the risk and
adaptability table. So I welcome any comments on that.

Now, let's go reach by reach. Okay.
So Reach 1, we're starting off on North Moore Street. And the highlighted area shows how we define that reach. We've heard a lot of feedback from the community. There's a lot of clarity that was requested of us at the flood-barrier system tiebacks at Greenwich Street. The trees, of course, were noted as a valuable resource. Pedestrian clearances are important. The views to the Hudson River Park from 9A are valued, and you'll see a significant change in our design to address that comment, and then concerns about alignment adjacent to the bike lane.

So here we are, coming around Greenwich, going down North Moore and then going on 9A. And you can see, effectively, the -- the project elements hug the building. We -- we were debating different places to put these elements. We got a lot of feedback from you folks, and we settled on aligning them with the building facade, as a way to best match the current build environment.

And here's some pictures of the before and after to give you a sense of that. When I show these images, I'm going to always show a series of
three images. The first image is going to be, like, the photo today. The second image is going to highlight what is going to change. And then the third image is -- is going to represent that change. So let's -- let's try that. So here's the today. Here's what's going to change, sort of, like, watch that space. And here's what we're proposing. So that's the pattern that you're going to see throughout this presentation.

And you can see, like I said, the project elements are aligning themselves with the building, so that, again, we match -- best -- the current build environment, so that the project is -creates the least minimal impact on the physical and the environment of the folks who work and live and walk there.

An overshot, existing -- that's where the project is going to go -- and, again, the proposed project itself. I want to note that the -- the surface treatments of those elements have not been designed yet, so it's not going to be looking like a monolithic concrete pour. It's going to be looking like something that has architectural elements on it. But we're not there yet in the design, because we're only in the 60 -percent early phase.

And here's another shot. And now we're coming around and going down 9A. Now, there's a representation -- there's a large change that's represented here. As many of you know, we've talked a lot about, initially, the project being on the west side of 9 A , adjacent to the greenway. We've got a lot of feedback about, you know, the view impacts that that would have on the view corners across 9 a and the issues of adjacency between that and the bike path. So we've shifted the alignment so that it is now on the east side of $9 A$, hugging along the MCC, crossing Harrison, and continuing to hug along the MCC.

I will also note that the crossing was pushed south, in order to minimize the impacts that people are -- enjoy today about the views. So here's some shots of the MCC existing. There's where they're going to go. And that's the representation, exist -of the existing. And actually it is a combo. There's where they're going to go, and then the representation, again, of the existing. Here's where it's going to go and the representation. Again, building the project elements adjacent to the buildings, literally, so that we're minimally changing the current physical environment that people experience and walk through and live in.

Now, let's shift to Reach 2. Reach 2 is, you know, it's right out there -- right -- right outside, near that side. And Reach 2, it's interesting for us because that esplanade out there is essentially a deck. It's like a platform deck that's suspended above water. When you walk on that esplanade, you're walking above water, because the water is beneath the concrete deck that you're walking on. So for us to modify that deck, we have to take the whole deck off and reconstruct the deck, because the deck wasn't designed to handle the project elements that we're proposing.

We're heard a lot about this reach, you know, the pedestrian pinch point where the north esplanade meets Hudson River Park; lots of concern about cyclists and pedestrians and the conflicts that could be coming from the proximity -- close proximity of those two user groups; you know, seepage breaching the flood barrier system and the building; trees and vegetation; amenities in the area; and then maintaining the egress to the school; and maintaining and improving universal access and ADA accessibility.

So this is the project, and bird's eye view is currently conceived. You can see this is the existing, and there's an extension here of six feet
where we're going into the water, six feet, as a cantilever platform. And that extension is designed to help this particular reach be more adaptable in the future. And, again, $I$ can talk a lot about that more in the table, but that's the rationale for that six-foot extension. And you can see that then, based upon that footprint, we've designed some curvature. MS. MADONICK: I'm sorry. I'm talking to the folks who are livestreaming. We understand there's a problem with the Zoom. We know you can hear us, but you can't see the slides. So if you'll give us just a minute, we are going to post a link to the slides. That'll be in the -- in the chat. And you'll be able to open them up and see them. So thank you for your patience.

MR. GLUS: So you can see how we approached the -- the design of the surface elements. On the new esplanade that has that slight expansion of six feet, we've put some curvature to the path. And we've tried here, like in all the areas of the project, to increase green space, plantings and -- and a sense of -- you know, we wanted to get away from concrete. We want to move towards green. At every opportunity we have, we're going to take those opportunities to create areas for green and planting.

And this is a good example of that, where on the south side of the curved path, we're going to be putting those green buffers. And then on the north side of the green path, we're looking to plant a line of trees because we recognize that this area right now has green. And we want to replace it with green and try to improve on that. And a lot of project areas on the Authority's property have wonderful green spaces, and we want to do our best to avoid changing them, and where we can, increasing green space where possible.

So here's some shots. This is the curve -- this is the corner right now that's currently in existence. There's the project elements that are going to be going. And there's the shot. So, again, just so that we're clear, the project elements sit against and adjacent to the high school. They're not in the esplanade, so that's why they're difficult to see, because they're effectively hidden by being sit up against the sides of the high school walls. They're not structurally touching the high school, but they're adjacent to the high school.

This is another shot of the existing. There's where it's going to go. And here's the final. Again, you can see -- to the right, you can see some
of the opportunities that we're creating for trees, green space, plantings. Existing, there's the elements. Again, taking the opportunity to create tree canopy, green space, plantings, as much as we can when we create those spaces, along with the project elements.

The corner -- here's a shot of the corner proposed. We changed the angle, so that's the corner right -- if you see that. And then here's the area where the maintenance facility door is. And you can see here, again, we're trying to do our best to, again, continue that green theme of planting some trees.

Now, we're going to go through
Rockefeller Park -- Rockefeller Park, as we define it technically here. And we've heard a lot of feedback on Rockefeller Park, you know, the importance of protecting trees along River Terrace, the views -protecting the views. These are very important and, you know, appreciation for existing plantings in the pollinator garden. Right?

So here's the plan we have. And you can see the project elements begin sort of halfway through the park and continue along. And we follow the existing wall that goes along River Terrace
because we're trying to match the existing built environment to minimize the change that the project is creating for people, for pedestrians, and for user experience. Right?

Here's existing, the bulwarks. There's the project element, which is, again, over there, behind it. And here's the new bulwarks. So you can see in the background there are the project elements. And here's the existing wall, which the top of the wall is at $15.75--15.75$ from the surface of the ocean. Right? So when we see numbers on these slides, it's the numbers from the surface of the ocean, not from the ground, just so that we're all clear. So that's 15.75 from the surface of the ocean. There's the new wall, shifted and slightly offset, and then there's the new wall. So it went from 15.75 to 16.5. So it went up a bit less than a foot. But, again, you can see here -- here as well, we're taking opportunities to create green space and planting space.

Here's -- I'm getting a little bit of feedback over there. Here's more shots of the playground, which is a place where we got a lot of feedback from. The flood -- the project elements are on the east side of the playground. You can see that
here. That particular wall there, there's that 14 and a half. There's the project elements. And the new wall is going to be at 16 and a half.

Belvedere Plaza -- let's go through
Belvedere Plaza, Reach 4. We've got a -- we've had a lot of conversation with many of you about the lily pond and the ferry terminal. The ferry terminal is not going to change its location. The project team has worked with the port authority to come up with a way to design the project while leaving the ferry terminal exactly in place, without moving it to the north or to the south, because we got a lot of feedback on the ferry terminal and the -- the opportunities to move that north or south. So we're leaving it right there.

And we also got a lot of feedback on our lily pond, and that's a beloved feature, I know, for many of you in the community. And so that's also being left, more or less, in place, intact. We're building around these elements. What we've heard, again, interested in more plantings, less pavings; balancing plantings with, you know, activities and programs, particularly at the Hunger Memorial Plaza; better understand the height and the visual presence of the wall in front of the Hunger Memorial and 300

Vesey; and interested in better accommodated existing queuing needs at the ferry terminal.

So how we've responded to that feedback, you can see. Here's our plan where the project elements go, like I said, on the east side of the lily pond, and the wall is integrated into plantings and the greenery. And we're actually creating greenery and plantings by putting the wall there. So here's some shots of that. Here's some of the ariel shots.

There's the Hunger Memorial on this side. Here's existing. There's the project elements. And that's what -- you -- you can't see them, of course, because they're hidden by the plantings, but that's by design. Right? Because we want to make this an area where people are experiencing the green and the plantings, and not the wall.

There's the plan for the ferry terminal area. Existing. This is the area that is going to contain the wall -- the project elements. And again you can see here, we've taken a lot of -- we've put a lot of thought into trying to create green here, because this area was not particularly green in its existing configuration. And then these are the views I mentioned before. We were very concerned about some
of the views by some of the ground-floor commercial uses and tenants. So this is an example of the views from 300 Vesey. That's the project element that will go in front of their facade. And this is what we're envisioning the final construction to look like.

Again, another shot near the ferry terminal. Now, I want to point out here that one of the things that we're doing -- I don't know if you can see it here -- is there's this dotted line that's white. These areas currently sit on platforms. So to construct what we're constructing, we have to reconstruct the platforms. Because we're reconstructing the platforms, we're going to elevate the platforms. And that's going to give us a flood-protection benefit. And we're going to taper those elevated areas very gradually, so that they kind of dovetail into the existing grates.

Now, let's go to Reach 5, North Cove -a lot going on in Reach 5. We have Belvedere Plaza. We have Pumphouse Park -- a lot of technical challenges here. Well, we heard -- we heard a lot. We heard about views and public space as a priority, protecting as many mature trees as possible. That was a huge theme in Reach 5.

We got some feedback about, you know,
switchbacks -- too many switchbacks; very difficult for, you know, accessibility because it has a very blocky architecture that was, you know, appropriate for the time; again, a lot of hard -- hardscape; not a lot of shade in certain areas; and then, you know, just being very mindful of the programing and the planned events that take place in that area throughout the year; and, of course, the dining terraces; and the area, in particular, between the project elements and Pumphouse Park.

So let's walk through this. So this is where our project elements align. We're trying to put our project elements on the high side of the esplanade to minimize their impact and to blend in with the uses in the upper esplanade. So let's talk about this. This is a good example, as we turn Vesey and go into Belvedere Plaza. This is Belvedere Plaza right now. And we -- we're -- we're -- we really thought long and hard about how to maintain those trees and protect those trees and minimize our impact to those trees.

So the project elements are going to be going there. And so this is how we're representing the final design. We're minimizing the impact to the trees in Belvedere Plaza. And the project element is going to be experienced as -- as seating. I think
someone could actually walk into this area, and just think of it as a unique seating arrangement, as opposed to something that was designed to protect from flood. And that's the intent of what we're trying to do here. There are openings for egress, and we've taken a lot of opportunity to put, adjacent to the project elements, plantings and greenery and vegetation to make this area more green and softer, in that sense.

Here's an area in Reach 5, a stepdown section. There's where the project elements are going to go, and you can see -- you, actually, you really can't see. We've changed the angle a little bit to -to focus on, like -- it's a better shot of this -- but you can see, the elements are sort of hard to distinguish in the eye. But what is easy to distinguish is the increase and amplification of the green spaces and the landscaping.

Another shot of Belvedere Plaza.
There's the elements, and again the seating configuration. As we come and come down to Waterfront Plaza, here's an existing shot of the winter garden. And again, you can see the, you know -- we're all familiar with the blocky architecture that's, you know, there. That's not -- not particularly
accessible and doesn't meet what we want it to meet for universal access.

Here's where the project elements are going to go. And here's what we propose is the final condition. So as you can see, there's much better accessibility and a significant effort to improve the canopy and the vegetative cover and the plantings and landscaping in this area, which is currently, right now, dominated by stone-type, concrete structures.

The dining area in Reach 5. There's the elements. There's the top of the wall. We worked really hard to keep that top of the wall such that you can be sitting on one of those tables, and you can still see the water.

Again, another shot. There's the area that represents the project. And you can see here's a shot that shoots along. So again, you know, what -what was obvious here is we're doing -- we're taking great care to make the wall part of an overall landscaping and architecture element. And we're taking every opportunity to increase the green coverage and the plantings in this area, as well. The plaza, the elements, and, again, here's a shot. From the winter garden, the elements, and there's the shot. The terrace at -- the elements
themselves, and the shot here. In showing that, we're doing our best to take -- to -- to understand the usage of the dining areas and the sensitivity of those customers and the people who sit there, to the views that they are currently used to, you know, and trying to maintain as much of it as possible.

Now, we're going to Pumphouse Park. So
this is where the project gets -- I'm doing pretty good. Twenty-four minutes, I have twenty minutes left. I think I'm more than halfway through. So this gets a little more technical here. So Pumphouse Park is, in some ways, defined by what's taking place underground, not by what's taking place on top of the ground.
You can't really see it here -- but

I'll show this in later slides -- there's a box here. This box represents the bridging instructor -structure that's necessary to build underneath the esplanade to prevent the water from going up the path tunnel surfaces and hitting West Street. So underneath that box is this. It's a fairly -- this is what we call the bridging structure -- called it a bridging structure because it's sort of like a bridge. But basically all this is below the esplanade. And that structure is going to prevent the water from
going underneath the esplanade platforms and, during a surge event, coming up through the platforms and flooding Brookfield and flooding the PATH Station and everything else, like as occurred during Sandy.

That's a significant technical part of the project and is probably one of the most difficult parts of the project to build. And part of the reason why we're talking about Reach 5 today is because we have significant coordination with the port authority to discuss with them how we're going to build this thing and straddle their PATH tube that goes underneath it, because obviously they have some significant concerns about the impacts that our project will have on the stability of the PATH tube -a lot of technical conversations back and forth with them.

Again, this is just showing the areas that are -- that are above water, again. And this is just another shot that just shows this bridging structure right here. So I'm just going to -- I'm just going to recap, because this is a really important point. A lot of this design is defined by this, and this is something that we don't have a lot of liberty to move back. We can't move it this way or that way, because we have to be on top of the PATH
tube. We can't move it this way or that way, because there's only a certain place we can cross that PATH tube.

So this box and this structure really set the table for how we have to deal with what's taking place on the surface. So here's the surface. And all that $I$ just discussed is underneath this stuff. Right? So there's the existing retaining wall. And this is the -- where the project elements will sit. And this is our concept of what the final construction will be. You can see those elements.

And what we've done is we've created a direct connection to Pumphouse Park through the esplanade. And we've created a system of ramps so that that esplanade entrance is accessible and -- and meets universal access requirements. We've also taken an opportunity to put as much green as we can on that, because we don't want people to experience any type -any sense, in any location that there's an overwhelming concrete, you know, feature.

Here's the Police Memorial area.
This -- this also, because it sort of straddles onto that bridging structure is technically complicated as well and is affected by the underground construction. This is where the project elements are going to go.

This is also an area that has a fair amount of trees. And so this is the proposed final design. And I -again, well, you can talk more about this in the tree table. But, you know, I'm going to say 14 or 15 trees are going to be impacted by this project, because this alignment is where it is. And that thing, below grade, has to be where it is.

Now we're coming around to the Kowalski Plaza -- Plaza. Sorry. And this is the existing. That's where the elements are going to be, off to the side. And this is our proposed concept, which matches the existing privacy wall and is more or less at the same height. So there's not a significant change to the, you know, to the -- to the experience of people in the physical built environment. All right?

Reach 6, we -- we call this Reach 6. You -- you know it as the South Esplanade, wonderful place to walk and run, beautiful place to see the sunset. And Reach 6 has lots of different elements, which we'll get into here. We heard a lot of feedback. We heard a lot of feedback about doing our best to protect these trees; providing equal or additional vegetation; "What's going to happen to the art stations?" And, you know, a couple of the pieces are very valued by the community.

You know, the -- the previous design -we got some feedback -- had too much meander, so we straightened it out a bit, because the feedback that we got was that it meandered too much. You know, how are you going to be handling the openings and the project elements, the flood protection system, and, you know, how is this going to affect the views from the residents on the ground floors in programs.

So here's the main before and after shots. There's the existing with the -- I think it's the pickleball court -- volleyball? Okay. Sorry. I don't play pickleball, so I don't know. There's the project elements. And here's a shot, again, which shows the project elements. And it shows our concepts of increasing the vegetation, the planting, and the canopy in this area, which can be particularly hot in the summer.

As we go around and near the Gateway Apartments -- the Gateway Apartments, I would add, are technically unique because part of these apartments actually sticks out over the water. Like, when the Battery Park was built as an authority, back in the day in the 70s, there was a bulkhead. For whatever the reason -- that, $I$ don't know -- this particular apartment building chose to build over the bulkhead
and cantilever over the water. So that presents for us a technical challenge that we're -- we -- we are solving by sort of adapting to that extension over the bulkhead.

Here's Gateway Plaza. And we're in a lot of conversation about how to approach this with Gateway Plaza and make this perform as we need to, but at the same time, minimize the impact to the people in Gateway Plaza.

Here's some of the shots along Reach 6, Albany Street, the -- the street on there. There's the project elements that are going on the left side there, and here's a shot of that. Pardon me? I think I -- I think, yes. Yes. Sorry. I stood in the way. Yeah, yeah. The -- the rendering got a little closer to this corner, so we didn't pull it out. I mean, one of the things we're trying to do is have all the renderings match that exact frame. It's technically difficult to do, but we're doing our best to make those match.

All right. I think $I$ was here, yup. Okay. Here's -- here's Thames -- the end of Thames.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When you finish the back of Gateway, will that be impacted, you said?

MR. GLUS: I'll go back to Gateway.

Well, again, we're -- we're -- there's a lot of conversation still in terms of developing this. And, right now, we have been busy evaluating a number of different options. You can see two of the options represented here. It's a solid floor barrier system. And just to point out a bit of nuanced detail, it's a solid, tacit, barrier system with a recurve element on top. That's an option. And the other option we're looking at, of course, is a system that has deployables that tries to minimize the destruction of the views from the courtyard area, crossing into the Hudson.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible response.]

MR. GLUS: Okay, okay. Can we -- can we save the questions until the end? Because then I can go through and repeat the questions, so that -that would be great. And we'll flip back through the slides if we want to use the slides.

Okay. The Thames -- there's where the project elements are. And here's the proposed configuration of the street end. Here's the Regato Residences. The wall effectively matches the current privacy wall elevation and is built in that alignment. And this is something that the design team and the
authority have come up with. We call these program locations -- where at the street ends, we want to reflect sort of a program use -- nature play, conversation room, and leisure lawn. And these are just some images of those proposed concepts. Existing, leisure lawn, conversation room. Existing, proposed, and then nature play.

So let me shift to Reach 7, South Cove. This South Cove, we already heard a lot from the feedback -- from the community feedback, you know, about the importance of protecting the grove -importance of protecting the grove. Right? That's a beloved grove, and appreciation for the quiet, contemplative seating area below the water's edge. Again, the importance of preserving views and interest in maintaining and possibly expanding circulation areas to avoid pinch points.

So this is the current plan for the project elements hung along the Regato and hung along here. And we have very deliberatively tried to move away from the grove, so that we minimize impact on those trees. And here's some shots. This is an ariel view -- just sort of a broad view of the area. Here's the existing on the corner. And this is the proposed image on the corner.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you go back one slide?

MR. GLUS: Sure, sure. And you can discuss this at length more with our colleagues in Scape. There's -- there's design rationale for the plantings here and how they tie into the installation. Here's a shot of the whole ramp. Here's the project elements. And here's our -- here's our proposed project.

The deployables in this particular configuration are -- are deployables that will come up from the ground and slide into place during a storm. We spent a lot of time discussing how we're going to do our best to protect that building, so that it performs like we need it to perform -- but to minimize the impact of that building, by using this particular deployable configuration. And these are some just companion views of the views from the south end. You can see here, crossing the street, we have a flip-up that comes up out of the ground in this location. You can't really see it, but it's right there.

And here's another shot of the view from the street with the top of the wall. Existing and proposed, and here's another shot of the second place, where the existing and the proposed.

Yes. I think there's a couple different interventions being used to cover those openings. In some cases, it's slide gates. In some cases, it's gates. In some cases, deployables. So we're at the end of the images.

And now it's time for $Q \& A$. So maybe $I$ want to write, Rachel --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I know you asked questions -- all the people -- questions about -MS. WILKINS: Okay. So I just want to go over again what we're going to do here. We have folks online, and we have folks who are in the audience right now. So for those of you who are listening via livestream, participating in that way, please put your questions in the $Q \& A$. I see we have some lined up already. I'll be going back and forth between people who are here in person and people who are participating virtually. I would ask you, please, to try to keep it short so that we can get to everyone. We have people in the audience, staff, who have microphones.

So, Caroline, let's start with you with this gentleman, right here in the blue.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You talked a little bit about the deployables on -- especially on
the South Esplanade, down to South Cove. You talked about a deployable coming up from the ground at South Cove. What's going to happen on the other streets? I realize there's a constraint in building it into treatments that look natural, but, obviously, one that's -- that are out of sight and doesn't leave the neighborhood feeling like it's in a prison on Albany, Thames, Rector, and the other ones. So that's one question.

Same question, though is, what -- are you incorporating the current privacy walls, or are you building new walls in front of them?

MS. WILKINS: Do you need visuals for this bit?

MR. GLUS: Yes, yes.
Okay. I don't have a slide that has, like, all different types of deployables. But let me try to get to here. Like, this is a good example. So at the outset, our goal is to build a project that's reliable and robust, easy to maintain, and easy to put in place, prior to a storm. Generally, that's best when the elements are passive, and we don't have to press any buttons or move anything in place or mobilize any people to do anything.

Because of, obviously, the unique
nature of the Authority and its property and its land uses, we have -- in a number of locations in the project -- deployable elements that are basically, sort of, $I$ want to say, fit for purpose.

I think this is a good example. We have a deployable gate that is in the ground right here at the end of South End Avenue. That's a great location for a deployable, because it doesn't get a lot of heavy vehicular traffic. And so -- and it's not going to be -- it's probably not going to be whacked by a snowplow. So, you know, we're generally comfortable that that's going to remain mechanically intact, so when we need it, we can, you know, press the mechanism. And the thing will flip up, and it'll act as a protection.

What's also great about that location, it's already starting from a little bit of a high elevation, so the thing that's coming up doesn't have to be six, seven, eight feet. And then there are other couple areas of the project, where the height of the deployable is much higher. And so, let me give you an example. The crossing of the street that splits BMCC -- I believe that's Hudson.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. It's Harris.

MR. GLUS: Harris, Harris. Sorry. That's a very high design height. So for that, we're looking at a couple different interventions, but it's unlikely that a deployable could work there, because of the height that's required and the exposure of that location to the water. So in that case, we're considering a number of different things.

But we're also considering gates that swing into place, that have -- yes. Gates have an obvious visible presence when they're not being used and they're in storage position. However gates, certainly, are robust at heights that are higher than the deployable elements. And they rotate into place quite easily. So, you know, really each deployable that we've chosen to use has sort of a story behind why we've used it in that place.

And, you know, we can talk more about that because, actually, I've got a whole bunch of slides on risk and adaptability on that desk. So I'm just giving you, like, a -- a -- encouraging people who want to talk about that to head over to that desk during the breakout session.

MS. WILKINS: Okay. So --
MR. GLUS: Oh, a little to the right.
MS. WILKINS: We'll -- we'll tell you
about those in a second. I'm going to jump --
MR. GLUS: Yeah. He -- he had a second question.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry?
MR. GLUS: You had a second question.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. The second question was the wall -- the wall. What of the --

MR. GLUS: Yes. The privacy wall.
Right, right. We are -- the privacy wall, right now, in -- in Reach 6 is designed to hold itself, but it's not designed to hold flood waters and hydrostatic pressure. So what we're doing in Reach 6 is we're reconstructing that wall, so that it is engineered to hold back the water pressures that will be felt by it during the surge event. The current wall --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- replacing the
first privacy wall --
MR. GLUS: Yeah. It gets basically replaced. Yes, yes.

MS. WILKINS: I'm going to switch to somebody online. "I found it hard to tell from the images, but is there any loss of space to Hudson River Park? Particularly, does the platform on the north side of Stuyvesant take space from the marina on the
south side of Pier 25?"
MR. GLUS: Okay. I will answer that question, but I'm also trying to avoid that feedback sound. But now I'm in the middle of the projector. Yes. So, remember, $I$ talked about that six-foot extension? So the rationale there is Reach 2 is a very narrow piece of the project, and it's a complete reconstruction of that platform.

So when we redesign and construct that, coming back 30 years from now, it'll be very difficult to change it because you don't have a lot to work with. So what the Authority and -- and our team have done is said, "We want this project to be adaptable in the future." So what we're doing is we're extending that platform six feet out over HRPT waters. And we're creating an opportunity for that six feet to hold a small -- what we call a parapet wall or a knee wall, that can be used to attenuate and dampen surge from future events. That will be constructed, if necessary, in the future.

But now we have an opportunity, at least, to build it. If we had to build a parapet wall on the current platform without expanding it, it would be cutting into the platform, the pedestrian path, and the circulation. That's why we're extending out six
feet over HRPT waters.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible response.]

MR. GLUS: Oh, sorry. Yeah, yeah. We're not touching 325. Yes. The -- is the question -- I guess -- oh, if the question was, "Are we touching 325," no, no. We're only going six feet north from the current boundary of the esplanade. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible response.]

MR. GLUS: Let me go back.
MS. DAWSON: -- I could talk to for a second is the new platform. Right? So there's an existing platform, and then there's a new platform. And that's the difference. Right?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On the ground -MR. GLUS: Yeah. The -- well -UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There's nothing on your legend, so $I$ just --

MR. GLUS: Hold on. Let me -- let me get the image. Sorry. Part of the reason is because there's a lot of slides. And I just have some feedback, so I'll be there in a second. It's coming up right now. Okay. Here. Okay. It's hard to see, but this pink line is the edge of the current
platform. The extension is in this sort of brown zone. The blue shaded area is just the area that we're delineating as the zone where construction activity will take place temporarily during the construction. But the finished product, per se, the physical built structure is going to be six feet out from the current edge. So set -- set even more, this edge right here is going to be six feet this way. All right.

MS. MADONICK: Okay. Thank you so much. Sorry I'm making you bend. So thank you for the presentation. It was really helpful, and thanks for the additions of the in-between slides. They were helpful. I just want to make a note again that we really need to talk more about Reaches 6 and 7 in more detail, not -- and 5 too, okay, 5 too -- but 5, 6, and 7 because there's lots of things that are in there that I think the community -- as a broader community than just the people who came to the walkthroughs -would want to opine on and -- and have input on. And I'm really grateful -- not about where the wall is. That's, you know, I know that's not up for grabs. But what are we going to do? What are we going to put in place? And I again invite you to the January or March Battery Park City Committee to
do those reaches at your convenience because I really think it's important.

Yeah. BPC, I said that. Right? BPC but -- or -- or either -- or the Environmental Committee. But I can't invite to -- committee, but I can invite to mine. Thank you.

MR. GLUS: Great. Is that it?
MS. WILKINS: Okay. We have somebody online. "Will you be removing all of the installed benches for sitting on West Street, outside of BMCC, after Harrison Street, heading downtown? The wall photos elements do not show any of those benches in the picture. The benches were very welcomed by the community."

MR. GLUS: Can you repeat the question?
MS. WILKINS: I can. "Will you be removing all of the installed benches for sitting on West Street, outside of BMCC, after Harrison Street, heading downtown? The wall photos elements do not show any of those benches in the picture. The benches were very welcomed by the community."

MR. GLUS: Okay. So I believe they're talking about benches that are on West Street, on the west -- east side of West Street. Like I had said earlier, we're moving the alignment of the project
elements on the east side of West Street, because we're looking to avoid the impacts that were created by having the project be either in the median or on the west side of West Street.

There's a lot of technical difficulty that the engineering team is working through, as we put the project elements on the east side of West Street, because, as you know, when you walk there, there's a lot of underground vaults and things. There's a lot of utility work there. So that -- that particular area of the project just has a lot of engineering difficulty that we're working through. And we're coordinating with the utility providers in New York City to coordinate -- whether we can relocate or move some of that stuff.

But I would say, right now, the engineering effort is focused really on the ability to construct here. And we can certainly take back the comment about benches and get back to folks on that in the final.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Fair -- fair
enough. Do you -- do you know, I mean -- on the street corners, there are trees, everything on that side? Do you --

MS. MADONICK: So currently on that
side of the street, it's really back of house for BMCC. There's a lot of loading docks. There's dumpster access. There's things like that. To my knowledge, there's currently no benches on that side of the street. There are some street trees though. I think the question may -- may be addressing for when the alignment was on the other side. But now that it is on this side of 9A, we're not going to be removing any benches, because there's none to remove.

MS. MASCARINO: I'm -- I'm just going to say to the presentation team, as well as the audience, if we don't have a microphone in front of you, the folks who are online can't hear you.

MR. GLUS: Okay. Yeah. There's a picture of what, $I$ think, the questioner is referring to. That's right. It's sort of back of house for BMCC. I'm not sure there's benches there.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- go reach by reach by -- by any chance so you could then -- would that be something that would be tenable for feedback --

MR. GLUS: Yeah. I mean, I think, you know, maybe our suggestion is let's continue with the Q\&A as best as we can. You know, what we heard is that people want to talk about the themes that we've
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set up in the back. We want to make sure we have time for people to dig into those themes. So I think maybe the current question format is what we'll work with, and then at the next meeting, we'll see if we can tweak it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Louder.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. My question is also about this reach. What is the passibility of 9A in the event of a flood? Like, would -- would emergency services be able to access Battery Park in -- in a massive flood?

MR. GLUS: That's a great question. So the project is going to be coordinated with the City of New York in terms of what we call its emergency response plan. Right? This project, Eastside Coastal Resiliency, the Underpass Projects, the Hugh Carey Tunnel -- all of these have large elements that close off the roads. Hugh Carey Tunnel has large -- you know, you see those large gates. Right? Those gates are going to be closed. Eastside Coastal Resiliency has to get that closed to the FDR. The underpass, the DOT is currently kicking off a project to close down.

So I -- I guess the right answer is, at an appropriate point when our design is complete, we're going to sit down with DOT, DEP, and all the
other City agencies and -- and align with their shutdown plan, because just to -- you know, these elements are very significant in terms of stopping egress. So they will likely be the last elements of the system that are closed.

Generally, when you think of a system like this, you close off elements that you can get to that have less consequence. And you wait until just before the storm starts to close off these elements. Like, for example, you know, the one on the FDR is a very big element to close off. Right? The elements outside of Bellevue Hospital are very big elements to cut off. These are going to be decisions that someone has to make. In terms of the sequence of that, that really will be governed by, you know -- you know, the City Emergency Management in coordination with them. It's a good question.

MS. WILKINS: So I have someone online who wants to know: "Can you, now or in the future, provide the number of mature trees per reach that you are planning to cut down in the current design?"

MR. GLUS: That's a great question. We cannot provide an accurate count right now. But we recognize that the tree conversation is very important. We're trying our best in this project to
indicate that. So, like, for example, when I talked about the Police Memorial, I pointed out that we're going to cut down about 14 or 15 of those trees. Where we have accurate information, we're going to present that to you. Where we don't, we're going to tell you that we're still trying to figure it out.

And the purpose of having a table there is to specifically dig into this and ask a lot of questions, not just about the number of trees that are going to be lost, but how we're going to be replacing them; why are the trees being impacted; what are we doing to protect trees -- all of that $Q \& A$, we invite you to have at the tree table, after this meeting.

MS. WILKINS: So who do we have here?
Yeah?
Caroline, could you -- thank you.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. Can I ask you about the trees? You mentioned to speak to the people, but --

MR. GLUS: Absolutely. You can ask me --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: First up, thank you very much for your -- for your time and -- and all the time you spend on this. Appreciate it. Okay. First up, my understanding is that the 15-foot gap is
an Army Corps of Engineers requirement. Correct? MR. GLUS: The -- the 15-foot gap? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The 15-foot gap is, like -- like, the 15 -foot space from the wall is an $A C E$ requirement?

MR. GLUS: Yeah, yeah. The 15-foot gap is a Corps of Engineers requirement, and it's in FEMA guidance as well.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is it a guideline or a requirement? MR. GLUS: It is -- this is something that we should talk about in the tree table. But long story short, FEMA has guidelines that are called out as such. But FEMA relatively strictly enforces them for the most part.

Let me give you an example of a FEMA guideline that was strictly enforced. On the east side of Manhattan, we had a regulator that had a flap to hold back water from oncoming tide and surge. We felt that that was acceptable, and the DEP felt so as well. FEMA said, "Well, we have a requirement" -sorry -- "We have a guideline that says you should have double protection for that." We said, "Well, if we have to do that, we have to reconstruct all the regulators along the east side." FEMA said, "But
that's our guidance," and they forced us to reconstruct all the regulators on the east side. So guidance often comes with a strict enforcement. And that's how we're handling the tree conversation.

But I will also add that -- and we can -- and again, we can get into it during the tree table -- avoiding the wall alignment -- the project elements alignment with trees has a lot of good engineering sense as well. We don't want to design something that has roots that go underneath it and interrupt its function. We don't want to design something that has roots adjacent to the wall, that if that tree were to topple in a hurricane, would leverage up and jack up the wall. Nor do we want to design something that has a large 20, 30 inch [sic] tree fall on a wall and crush a wall.

You know, so there's a lot of engineering common sense and best practice in terms of keeping mature trees from the project elements. FEMA has expressed that is 15 feet. And I think, as we've talked before, we're going to be submitting to FEMA our proposed design and having them review it. And that usually takes place as a single exercise and not as a series of small, "What do you thinks?" It's generally: "Here are the plans. Please review them
and provide comment." And that's called the CLOMR process.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry. Just to carry on. Appreciate that. Is the 15 -- is the 15-foot guideline -- well, actually, this is a state project -- state and City project. Is that correct?

MR. GLUS: It's -- the authority is a state authority. Yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So what's the jurisdiction of FEMA and the ACE? Can't we just say: "Thanks very much. We're doing it this way"?

MR. GLUS: That's a great question.
But the -- FEMA has regulatory control over all flood insurance mapping that exists in the whole continental United States. And so we abide by FEMA's guidance, because FEMA controls the flood maps. Now, we don't -- we didn't talk about this yet, but remember, when the project is built, the Authority's property will be deregulated, from an insurance perspective. And ongoing insurance in the future will be significantly cheaper, because you will not be in a high-risk zone. That's the purpose of FEMA certification. You de-map it. The CLOMR stands for Conditional Letter of Map Revision.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- for insurance
we'll have views to be blocked and no trees?
MR. GLUS: That's one way of talking about the choice, but this is why I'll encourage you to talk about it with the tree people.

MS. MADONICK: Could I, Peter -- Peter, could I -- could I add something there? I mean, I -I think it's -- it's important to clarify what our design team has been asked to do. We would like for our design team to provide us with their best judgement as to how close trees should be to the wall. And, you know, the FEMA -- the FEMA guidance is part of that. So they are taking that into account.

But ultimately, we want their judgement as to whether or not a tree within 15 feet poses a risk or doesn't, because in addition to the risks that -- that Peter just spoke about, we also have to be able to readily access those barriers for purposes of testing and operation and maintenance and repairs if needed. So there are a number of things that -that go into that. And I -- I just wanted to clarify that -- that their -- our design team's judgement -engineering judgement is something that we're relying on, and the FEMA guidance is part of that.

MS. WILKINS: Okay. We have -- this is a -- a general, broad question, and there -- and it
was asked a few times. I know the answer, but I'd like to hear it from you. What's the timeline for the numerous parts? What are start dates? How long until they get finished? What's the expected timeline and the total duration of construction?

MR. GLUS: The timeline is -- as we're able to communicate it right now -- is we're in the beginning of the 60 -percent design phase. We know that the project is going to begin construction some time in 2025. And as the project gets finally designed, we'll know more about phasing, durations, et cetera. Right now, we don't have those details pinned down because we don't have the design finalized.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can we go back to Reach 6, please, in the theme of walls? Because I think there's been quite a bit of thought given to the walls from the park side. But when I see the image from the west side, sometimes it doesn't seem that there's -- street side -- all the views from all those streets that are impacted.

MR. GLUS: Okay. So which one are you looking to particularly talk about?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There was one view in particular from street -- yeah. This one that's coming up. That one, yeah.

MR. GLUS: Okay.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's -- and then the next one. I think there was a -- yeah, that. That's -- that's pretty harsh. That's -- yeah. Awful is a better word. So is there more thought to be given to this? And what dictates the -- the width of the openings? It was shown in a previous slide in front of Gateway a lot more smaller openings and deployables. Could that not be applied here to open these as much as possible? Because, you know, this is not acceptable.

MR. GLUS: No. It's a good question. One of the challenges we have in these street ends is we're limited in our ability to locate deployables in the esplanade itself because it is an esplanade.

Right? So you don't -- you know, we're building within a deck. And the deck doesn't have the ability to hold a deployable like, for example, the area near BMCC would.

So what the design team is proposing here is to use an element that, $I$ believe, slides in place. And we're trying to maintain as much as that opening as possible but recognize that the width from building to building is significant. And so, you know, our task is, you know -- I'm going to go back to
the plan view. All right. I don't have a good plan view here.

Our -- our task is, we have to prevent water coming from the edge of that building to the edge of that building. And obviously we have no privacy wall to use as a -- as a template, so to speak. So that's why we have to extend across this open space. And we've chosen to try to have an open element here at the center point to maximize the view protection or preservation. But at the same time, we have the elements that are on either side of that open iris, so that we can achieve flood protection.

MS. MADONICK: If I could just add, this -- this question has come up before. And -- and, quite honestly, I agree with you. And so we've asked the design team to take -- to go back and look at this again. Is there -- is it possible to create two openings rather than one and create -- and to have a -- a larger open area. I don't know the answer to the question yet, but I -- I agree with the -- the observation. And we have asked the design team to take a closer look at that.

MR. GLUS: I want to follow up. I think it would be good for more community involvement to be specific to each street, because everyone really
worries about their own street.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And each street
is different.
MR. GLUS: And each street is
different.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So I'm going to build a little bit on what everyone has kind of said around me. A couple things -- in this case in particular, can you -- can you explain why, since there are two buildings on the side, you aren't able to actually build some kind of deployable to go across, further inland, so you're not worrying about the platform. You've got a giant open cul-de-sac at the end. We're looking to maintain open views, the narrow part inland, so why not move the alignment further inland, similar to the way you have on Harrison, which was the same treatment that -- the alignment inland to accommodate? I have four questions. That's the first.

MR. GLUS: Okay. So -- so to respond to that question, that's a good question. We looked at a number of different iterations of this during design. Honestly, I'm going to have to get back to you because $I$ don't have them in my memory right now. But $I$ know we did look -- we didn't look just simply
at building corner to building corner. We looked to see if it could bulge out. We looked to see if it could curve in. We settled on this, and I can get back to you with more clear reasons for what we did and what we looked at.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'd also like to take a look, one back, at Reach 5. I do have concerns as to where you're going on the esplanade. We currently have two pathways. One is dedicated for pedestrian only, and one is for bicycles. So along -I would like to see far more detail in 5 in terms of conversation with the community. I do not understand why -- and I would love to hear initial -- stop, please, thanks.

MR. GLUS: Oh, I understand. I'm just trying to get to the plan.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. This is the first of five. There's -- there's very little -there's a lot of solid walls where you now -- if you were there with families, you cannot see the lower level at all. You can barely see back out to the water. So that's just one feedback on here.

The wall system is so solid and so cold in most of 5 that it's completely changing the nature, which includes at Pumphouse Park. Pumphouse was
designed specifically to be a natural retreat from the urban oasis with views of the water. From what I see, it's 14 trees removed from around the outside of that, so it diminishes that completely. And you're walling it off from a view which doesn't -- I understand you have to find a bridge.

But initially we were shown treatments of North Cove Plaza that include changes within North Cove itself at one point. Those don't seem to be included in these renderings. And I wondered why you couldn't look at something like that on the south side to be able to move the bridging, as you call it, further west?

MR. GLUS: Again, that's a detailed question. We'd have to get back to you on that. I mean, I understand what you're asking. But, you know, like $I$ have explained, the location of the bridging structure is -- is defined by the needs of the path -- crossing. And then the treatment at the grade is defined then by the location of the bridging structure.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So we have this conversation when it all started with also the moving of the ferry terminal. So my question is, was it considered, moving west with changes to the marina in
a dialogue with Port Authority? And certainly get back to me on that. I -- I understand that --

MS. MADONICK: Can I -- can I answer that? Because I spent lots of time -- everybody who's on the design team will verify that $I$ spent lots of time asking lots of questions about where that bridging structure could move. Could it move west? And it would impact the marina. And the question was, okay, it would impact the marina. The -- the -that's not the limiting -- that's not the limiting factor. By -- by moving it west --

And you're going to have to correct me if I'm misstating anything.

By moving it west, there is significant fill impact to the water and permitting by the Army Corps and DEC to the point of making it absolutely implausible that we would be able to get the -- that location of the bridging structure permitted. We went round and round and round about this. The design team felt very strongly about this. I, ultimately, was convinced of this. You're welcome to have the same conversation that $I$ did with them. But certainly, we have really pressed that as a means for potentially having less impact on Pumphouse Park. The conclusion that we've come to is that it's not feasible. So I,
again, welcome you to have the further conversation. But that's -- I wanted you to be aware of the -- the work that we've done to try to achieve that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I appreciate that. But from the surface-dweller perspective, the more -- I'm not as concerned about the work that needs to be done under the platform for a space that's not -- not habitable because it's --

MR. GLUS: Right. Underneath the platform.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct. It's already under a platform. So the work that would need to be done, even if it was more herculean, in order to be able to maintain the usable space and the trees and the parks on the surface that we all -- that the public, the tourists, and everyone enjoys would be a well worth thing. And I can imagine the hours that you put into it. But I'm just saying, from a person who's more concerned with the top than the bottom.

And then what I didn't see, Reach 5 has an incredible amount of detail. It is the most transformed -- one of the most transformative changes. So I felt it went very quickly here. I don't feel that I understand, and I know -- I live this space. I don't feel that $I$ actually even understand that the
views in the images were well enough explained to be able to say and understand from point A to point B. I apologize, but that's how I feel on that. Particularly as you pass the ballfield, the volleyball court, it shows one path now.

MR. GLUS: Okay.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So where are the bicyclists going to go? We're not losing bicyclists, as far as I understand, unless Battery Park City Authority is saying that they will prohibit bicycles on the esplanade. We've now removed the safety net of having one place for pedestrians only and one mixed-use path. What is the thought behind that?

MS. WILKINS: Hi. I'm Rachel. We
currently have -- we do have two paths at Reach 6. Are you talking about Reach 5 or Reach 6?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: At the end of 5 going to 6. 5 was supposed to be -- our understanding was there would be improvements --

MS. WILKINS: Sure. So --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- and I
don't -- I didn't see that on the back of the bottom of Reach 5 around the marina or by Kowalski. I didn't see anything that would show bike path improvements -and then how it merges in from 5 to 6. MS. WILKINS: Okay. So right now we're looking at where Kowalski Plaza and -- and Reach 5, North Cove Marina meet -- what we call Reach 6 , which is also known as South Esplanade. A general rule of thumb, when you have a shared youth, bike, and pedestrian path is 14 feet. And there are places all over the site where we have a minimum of 14 feet. As a design team, we said, "That's not enough." So we've increased it to 20 feet in most places, except for where you're along the back of the esplanade. And we're -- we're preserving the esplanade as it is now. Along Reach 6, we do have a consistent secondary path that is the same width of the path that is there now. It does have a meander for design interest, but that is really for pedestrians only. And one of the purposes of introducing the meander was to really discourage bicycles from going up there. So you'll still have the esplanade path all the way around on the perimeter.

You can actually see how it's outside the limit of work here, and then it goes up to the South Esplanade. That is all consistent with what is there today, plus kind of that secondary pedestrian path that you can kind of see branching off here on
the other side of the volleyball court.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you have this picture for the --

MS. WILKINS: I -- we can't hear you.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you have this picture for the meander side?

MS. WILKINS: Yeah. We do. We can show -- we can go a little bit further down.

MS. MADONICK: And then I'm going to go to a question online.

MS. WILKINS: So right here, this is the secondary path right here. It's at a ten-foot elevation, so it's -- it's six inches higher. One of the comments that we got from the previous meeting was that we had set that path too high, and you guys wanted it lower. So that's something that we accommodated in the design.

If you want to go through -- go forward to the next plan, we can show you another image of that secondary path. So here it is, right here. And you can see how it starts to come up to connect to West Thames Street. We have the meander. This is all -- one of the -- the points of having the meander within the design is to discourage faster traffic like scooters and bikes and such.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I -- I appreciate that. Thank you. And then, the art -where is the art?

MS. WILKINS: We're -- we're still undergoing studies for the art. We know that the art is super important. It's a big part of the conversation. And so it's something that we're still looking at with the PCA.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But I don't see it represented on your draft plans here. Correct?

MS. WILKINS: That's correct.
MS. MADONICK: So we're going to move back to online. I want to make sure that we're -we're hearing from the folks who are livestreaming. And we're probably going to do another five minutes of Q\&A. I want to make sure everybody has time to go to the tables and ask some of their questions before we have to close down for the school.

So the question here is: "What provisions are there for evacuation in the face of the storm? Will cleats and bollards be installed on new bulkhead structures, so vessels can tie up? Is existing marine infrastructure being protected, beyond the location of the ferry terminal?"

MR. GLUS: I don't think, right now,
that our plans within the design were to -- to install cleats and bollards. But the same type of access to waterfront vehicles that is present now will be present later. So as I understand it, you know, there were events over the past decades where evacuation was performed by boats coming up close to the bulkheads of the Authority's property. We're not changing that ability, but we are not installing cleats and, you know, anchorage in any -- in any way. Nor are we changing the views of the North Cove.

MS. MADONICK: Before I -- sorry. I --
I just want to make sure that we --
Caroline, could you take the microphone right there, please? I want to make sure we get to folks who haven't had a chance to ask questions.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you for placing the priority for the designing of the -- the landscape for pedestrians and a lot of residents. I'm a resident here. And I got lots of neighbors that they are -- they look good, but they have health issues. So a lot of times, when you see the people walking around, they're actually trying to recuperate from their medical, illness, conditions. And it's -sometimes, it's very hard. Like, you see all the visitors or that they're actually squatting on the
stairs, trying to find seats. So I'm very happy to see that the landscape actually is showing that you're trying to provide more seating in a way that people can just naturally -- although it's a barrier, but actually you can sit there and relax.

And that the way I understand it is we're trying to push the bikers to go back to the beltway from the beginning, all the way to upstate, but not try to bike along the promenade. So I appreciate that. So please continue to work on that, because now there's so many E-bikes as well that are trying to get by. It's really hard. And we always have to duck away from those peoples. Yeah. Thank you for that.

Oh, oh, I have one more thing about the tree shades, that -- I see that your slides is showing a very forest -- forest. Actually, how long does it take to really give shade? Because I remember when -- was at the beginning, they had a skinny tree. It -- it took a few summers to really give people shade. And we've got lots of residents here, at least 9,000. So please do let us know how long or, like, if -- I don't know how the seedlings work with the planting. I mean, the picture shows nice -- that it's a fully grown tree. But $I$ don't think it's going to
happen when you have the construction in 2025.
MR. GLUS: Great point. Let -- we can definitely talk about that at the tree table.

MS. MADONICK: Caroline -- Caroline, could you come over here, please?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. I -- I appreciate the logic -- this -- the powerful logic of moving everything to the east -- moving the project elements to the east. But then everything to the west is going to flood. So what's -- what is the -- what are the assumptions about how often it's going to flood, and what are the mitigation strategies for everything west of the project elements?

MR. GLUS: That's a great question. I don't mean to duck it. I mean to suggest that we talk about that at the risk and adaptability table, because we got a couple more questions and only a few minutes left for $Q \& A$ and some of the folks have had their hand up for a while. But I definitely have an answer for that. Let's talk about that at the risk and adaptability table breakout. I can certainly talk about that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. I --
sorry. I just have couple of questions about the edges of -- in Reach 6, okay, like the Albany Street,

West Thames Street, and then at the end -- the end of West Thames Street, but -- and Rector Place -- I'm sorry -- and as well as the pergola. If you go back to those pictures -- I call it the gazebo. It's the pergola. And I know that you've spoken with the designer of the pergola to have a new, more built up with trees and stuff setting.

I'd like -- and I really would beg that the pergola stays, but then you incorporate the other elements in, as makes sense, because, for example, look at the beautiful tall trees that are there now. Assuming that those are something that could stand and withstand, that should be kept. And then you can build out the greenery where the cobblestones are. So that's number one -- can you do that?

MS. WILKINS: So I would love to talk to you more about this and --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: At the tree table?

MS. WILKINS: -- and I'm -- I'm going to do a Peter -- at the tree table. We have a couple of more slides to look exactly at this.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. That's okay. Look -- okay, cool --

MS. WILKINS: But -- but I --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The hard thing is I'd like to hear all of the answers.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. But part of it is why $I$ want to have those questions right now and say it is, because $I$ want everyone to think about that and weigh in on it and mention what -- what you think, I mean, if you -- if you like the new thing.

MS. MADONICK: If I can just -- because I know that you've raised this before.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I know.
MS. MADONICK: And so I want you to know you are heard --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I know.
MS. MADONICK: -- and that we -- I have asked the design team to, again, take a close look at that, see if that's something that's compatible with the overall vision that -- and -- and the design team have come up with, if it's possible to retain the pergola or the -- the gazebo. And so I -- I know they have some thoughts about that. But they are looking at it, and they will talk to you about it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I so appreciate that. And I do know that. I'm just trying to make it for the record, for the recording.

And then on Rector Place, I saw that
you have something at the edge of the street. And I'm not quite sure if that is -- let's go back to Rector. I don't know if that's part of the Resiliency or if -one more, that one, that one. No. That -- no. Go back. That's what it is now.

But the picture where you have people seating -- that's not it. That's Albany, go to Rector. Oh, sorry. Yeah, no. Too far. This is West Thames. There is Rector. There is Rector because of the seating across. The one with the scaffolding, then after the scaffolding is what you've proposed. It's not this one. Go one more.

MS. MADONICK: This is it.
MS. WILKINS: Is it this one?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's it.
That's what it is now.
MS. WILKINS: Yeah. This is it.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That -- that.
Are those seats -- so now the water is behind us and we're looking at -- at -- we're looking east. Are those seatings that are there part of the protection, so you don't have to put something on the street? Or is that just something you decided to do?

MS. MADONICK: This is -- this is -- so we went through Reach 6 or South Esplanade and started
looking at areas where we could introduce little programmatic nodes. And this is one of the programmatic nodes that we proposed. We liked it for a few reasons. First, it's fully accessible. It's offering shade seating. We also think that there's an opportunity to, like, have, like, just a small-scale gathering space for some of the programmatic events that happen here already. And so that was what went into the thought for this.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We -- we need to talk more, and I'd like the neighbors to weigh in more. And I'll stop talking now. But -- because the programming space at the -- at the Albany Street, from here, has some concern. And I think it actually blocks access, not opens it. But that's my opinion.

MS. MADONICK: Okay. We're going to do a couple more questions, and then we're going to go to the tables.

## Caroline?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thanks -- thanks very much for the presentation. I just want to say, just -- just looking forward, in terms of future presentation, if I might suggest -- make a suggestion. You know, looking back, some of us in this room have worked together for many, many years. And we started
at Wagner Park, right on the southern end. So we -we looked at a tenth of this fantastic 92 acres. And we worked -- I think we had over ten meetings on just that small area. And it was fascinating and wonderful.

And I appreciate that now, with design build, that path is no longer being taken. There are much fewer of these meetings. And so each meeting really counts and is critical. And so one of the things $I$ would do is really concentrate on how you're presenting. I think it would be helpful, with the reach situation that you're in, that you do -- do $Q \& A$ in the future, reach by reach.

Two, I would really think it would help everyone -- and remember, the people that are asking questions in this room live here and are really, really intimately involved. But there are many people that would be fascinated to know more about this. And your website is tremendous. But with this park, there seems to be one missing thing, which we saw when the City presented for East River Park, is a model.

I know -- I know all these architects and landscape architects who work with models. And I think -- I know we made -- I made this call earlier on Wagner Park -- I think it would help a great deal if
we could see a 3-dimensional hole and be able to really get in there and look. And it -- many of these graphics are wonderful, but $I$ think that's what's missing. I think it would help a great deal going forward. So I just want to make that recommendation. And I thank you.

And I would also -- one other thing -is when you do existing versus proposed -- and I know we spoke about this at an earlier meeting -- it's really helpful if you see both images side by side, as much as I appreciate this sort of intermediary, which is interesting to see. I think that would be very helpful, as well. Thank you.

MS. MADONICK: Caroline, the gentleman in the turtleneck, please.

And that will be our last question.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How are you doing? My question is about Reach 3, in front of Rockefeller Park. I'll make it really quick. There's -- my first question would be, when I -- when I look at it, it looks like there's a setback from where the original wall is. Keep going. You're getting closer. Yup. I'll just -- I'll just keep talking as you're -- yup. Oh, go back. Go -- no. Yeah, right. No. The one where you had the park --
the -- I'm sorry -- the playground. I thought I just -- the next playground.

MR. GLUS: This one?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You --
somewhere -- it got lost. That one.
MR. GLUS: This one?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yup, yup. So there, when you show it from another side, it looks like that wall is -- is set back further. Let's go west, and there's, like, some plantings and whatnot. So my first question is, that's going to obviously make the playground smaller. Are you planning to make the playground larger going west? Because even if you put the same equipment, there will be less room to run around -- that'll be one question.

And two, just as a statement, when you make that wall two feet taller, kids walking by, they basically won't be able to see if their friends are in the park, if they're playing. It'll be too high, which leads to my third point.

Are these walls scalable in the sense that you build that gray wall to whatever height you want to? Can you add on to it? And if you're able to do that -- because that would seem easier, not redigging -- could you keep -- could you build to that
height currently and down the road, in ten years, whatever, you see that the water levels are moving higher, then simply just add to it, versus -- and -and do it that way? It would cost less to begin with. And then you can always make adjustments if your modeling changes and whatnot.

MS. WILKINS: So thank you for that question. I'm going to answer the first half and then hand it over to Peter for the second. You are very perceptive in noting that the wall that we're showing in these drawings is offset a little bit into the park, a couple of feet into the park, along this edge of Rockefeller and specifically at the playground. The reason we're doing that -- and sorry, broken record -- I have more information about that at the tree table. The reason we're doing that is in order to do everything we can to protect the street trees on River Terrace there. So we're nudging a little bit into the park, understanding that there is, you know, something we're giving up here. There's a couple of feet of park that we're giving up in order to protect those trees.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible response.]

MS. WILKINS: So that -- the second
part of your question is the impact of the playground itself. And that's something that we're looking at very closely right now. We are, kind of, as part of the construction process, going to have to remove and then replace the construction -- the playground equipment.

With that comes an opportunity to kind of evaluate the spacing between equipment; make any adjustments that are necessary to things that are not in great condition; and look very closely at, you know, what fits, do we need to nudge a little bit without impacting the lawn, without impacting the park too much to make sure that we can maintain that play experience that we heard, many times, people really appreciate. I'll hand it over to Peter for a second. MS. MADONICK: To add one other thing, we have provided direction to the design team that we want to make sure that the -- the playground equipment there -- that's there now, can be then put back, reinstalled. As -- as Lee mentioned, because of the -- the spacing -- the spacing may need to be adjusted a little bit, configured a little bit differently. We have looked at the ability to add a little space on that west side without impacting any other elements -- any other park elements. So
that's -- that's the objective that we've provided. MR. GLUS: To your third question, yes. The project is adaptable, and I would love to talk about it with you at the adaptability table. Well, that's a -- that's a specific question that -- let's talk about it at the table.

MS. MADONICK: Okay. So we're going to go to the tables. Thank you, everybody, for participating in the Q\&A. We have three tables available: one is Tree Landscape Considerations within the Project; one is Risk and Adaptability; and one is the Project in Context: Battery Park City Resiliency Projects and Lower Manhattan Coastal Resilience.

For those of you who are participating virtually, just stay on the line for a moment. And in a moment, we're going to open a virtual topic -- topic table, where you can ask questions related to the same three topics in -- within person -- that in-person participants are going to be looking at, at the tables.

And for those of you who didn't get your questions answered, we have note takers at each of these tables. So they'll be taking down your questions and your comments. So they will be heard, and they will go to the -- to the project team. In
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addition, the boards out in the cafeteria lobby will be up, and there will be people there. And in a couple of days, the boards are going to be uploaded onto the BPCA website at bpca.ny.gov. Have fun. (Whereupon, the meeting concluded at 7:44 p.m.)
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| 42:2 | basically 24:24 | 16:11 24:2 | blocked 50:1 |
| avoiding 48:7 | 35:3 37:19 | 27:22 29:19 | blocks 69:15 |
| aware 58:2 | 72:18 | 32:14 34:21 | blocky 21:3 |
| awful 52:4 | battery 1:1 4:3 | 43:24 45:25 | 22:24 |
| b | 4:5 7:24 28:22 | 48:18 50:9 | blue 33:23 40:2 |
| b 59:2 | 40:25 44:11 | 77:10 78:6 | bmce 35:2 |
| back 7:3 25:15 | 59:9 75:12 | better 18:24 | 41:10,18 43:2 |
| 25:24 28:22 | beautiful 27:18 | 9:1 22:14 | 43:17 52:19 |
| 29:24,25 30:18 | 66:11 | 23:5 52:5 | boards 76:1,3 |
| 32:1 33:16 | beaver 3:16 | beyond 62:23 | boats 63:6 |
| 37:15 38:10 | becky 3:16 | bicycles 55:10 | bob 3:23 |
| 39:11 42:18,19 | beg 66:8 | 59:10 60:18 | bollards 62:21 |
| 43:1,16 44:1 |  |  | 63:2 |


| bottom 58:19 | 38:22,22 54:7 | called 24:22 | chambers 1:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59:22 | 54:11 66:14 | 47:13 49:1 | chance $4: 17$ |
| boundary 39:8 | 70:7 72:22,25 | canopy 16:4 | 43:19 63:15 |
| box $24: 16,17$ | building 10:18 | 23:7 28:16 | change 9:9,22 |
| 24:21 26:4 | 10:21 11:12 | cantilever 14:2 | 9:24 10:12 |
| bpe 41:3,3 | 12:22 13:19 | 29:1 | 11:3,4,6 12:3 |
| bpea 76:4 | 18:20 28:25 | cards 6:8 | 17:2 18:8 |
| bpca.ny.gov. | 32:14,16 34:4 | care 23:19 | 27:13 38:11 |
| 76:4 | 34:12 52:16,24 | carey 44:16,18 | changed 16:8 |
| branching | 52:24 53:4,5 | caroline 2:22 | 22:13 |
| 60:25 | 55:1,1 | 3:9 33:22 | changes 56:8 |
| breaching | buildings 12:23 | 46:16 63:13 | 56:25 58:22 |
| 13:18 | 54:10 | 65:4,4 69:19 | 73:6 |
| break 6:23 9:4 | built 17:1 | 71:14 | changing 12:23 |
| breakout 36:22 | 27:15 28:22 | carry 49:4 | 15:10 55:24 |
| 65:21 | 30:24 40:6 | case 36:654:8 | 63:7,10 |
| bridge 24:23 | 49:18 66:6 | cases 33:3,4,4 | characteristics |
| 56:6 | bulge 55:2 | center 53:9 | 9:2 |
| bridging 24:17 | bulkhead 28:23 | ceremonies | chat 14:13 |
| 24:22,23 25:19 | 28:25 29:4 | 4:24 | cheaper 49:21 |
| 26:23 56:12,17 | 62:22 | certain 21:5 | choice 50:3 |
| 56:20 57:7,18 | bulkheads 63:6 | 26:2 | choices 9:11 |
| brief 6:15 | bulwarks 17:5 | certainly 36:12 | chose 28:25 |
| broad 31:23 | 17:7 | 42:18 57:1,22 | chosen 36:15 |
| 50:25 | bunch 36:18 | 65:21 | 53:8 |
| broader 40:18 | busy 30:3 | certificate 77:1 | circulatio |
| broken 8:25 | buttons 34:23 | 78:1 | 5:24 31:16 |
| 9:2 73:15 | c | certification | 38:25 |
| brookfield 25:3 | c $2: 13: 14: 1$ | 49:23 | city $1: 14: 4,5$ |
| brown 40:1 | cafeteria 76:1 | certify 77:4 | 7:24 40:25 |
| buffers 15:3 | call 8:20 24:22 | 78:2 | 42:14 44:13 |
| build 7:25 | $27: 1631: 1$ | cetera 51:12 | 45:1,16 49:6 |
| 10:22 11:13 | $38: 17 \text { 44:14 }$ | challenge 29:2 | 59:9 70:21 |
| 24:18 25:7,10 | 56:12 60:3 | challenges | 75:12 |
| 28:25 34:19 | 66:4 70:24 | 20:21 52:13 |  |


| clarify 50:7,20 | come 18:9 | companion | conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| clarity 10:7 | 22:21,21 31:1 | 32:18 | 49:24 |
| class 3:3 | 32:11 53:14 | compatible | conditions |
| claudia 3:6 | 57:25 61:21 | 67:16 | 63:23 |
| clear 15:16 | 65:5 67:18 | complete 38:7 | configuration |
| 17:14 55:4 | comes 6:9 | 44:24 | 19:24 22:21 |
| clearances | 32:20 48:3 | completed 5:16 | 30:22 32:11,17 |
| 10:10 | 74:7 | completely | configured |
| cleats 62:21 | comfortable | 55:24 56:4 | 74:22 |
| 63:2,8 | 35:12 | complicated | conflicts 13:16 |
| climate 9:22,24 | coming 4:18 | 26:23 | connect 61:21 |
| clomr 49:1,23 | 6:12 10:15 | conceived | connection |
| close 13:17 | 12:2 13:17 | 13:24 | 26:13 |
| 44:17,22 45:7 | 25:2 27:8 34:2 | concentrate | connor 2:14 |
| 45:9,11 50:10 | 35:18 38:10 | 70:10 | 3:21 |
| 62:18 63:6 | 39:23 51:25 | concept 26:10 | consequence |
| 67:15 | 53:4 63:6 | 27:11 | 45:8 |
| closed 44:20,21 | comment 10:13 | concepts 9:9 | considerations |
| 45:5 | 42:19 49:1 | 28:14 31:5 | 6:175:10 |
| closely 74:3,10 | comments 6:18 | concern 13:15 | considered |
| closer 29:15 | 6:20 10:1 | 69:14 | 56:25 |
| 53:22 71:23 | 61:14 75:24 | concerned | considering |
| cloudburst | commercial | 19:25 58:6,19 | 5:10 36:7,8 |
| 9:25 | 20:1 | concerns 10:13 | consistent |
| coastal 44:15 | committee | 25:13 55:7 | 60:13,23 |
| 44:20 75:13 | 40:25 41:5,5 | conclude 7:19 | constraint 34:4 |
| cobblestones | common 48:18 | concluded 76:5 | construct 20:11 |
| 66:14 | communicate | conclusion | 38:9 42:18 |
| cold 55:23 | 51:7 | 57:24 | constructed |
| colleagues 32:4 | community 5:5 | concrete 11:22 | 38:19 |
| collected 5:11 | 8:9 10:7 18:18 | 13:8 14:23 | constructing |
| collecting 6:18 | 27:25 31:10 | 23:9 26:20 | 20:11 |
| 6:20 | 40:18,18 41:14 | condition 23:5 | construction |
| combo 12:18 | 41:21 53:24 | 74:10 | 8:21,22 20:5 |
|  | 55:12 |  | 26:11,24 40:3 |


| 40:5 51:5,9 | coordinating | courtyard | currently 13:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:1 74:4,5 | 42:13 | 30:11 | 15:13 20:10 |
| cont'd 3:1 | coordination | cove 6:2 20:18 | 23:8 24:5 |
| contain 19:20 | 5:25 25:9 | 31:8,9 34:1,3 | 42:25 43:4 |
| contemplative | 45:16 | 56:8,9 60:3 | 44:22 55:9 |
| 31:14 | corner 15:13 | 63:10 | 59:15 73:1 |
| context 8:11 | 16:7,8,9 29:16 | cover 23:7 33:2 | curvature 14: |
| 75:12 | 31:24,25 55:1 | coverage 23:22 | 14:19 |
| continental | 55:1 | create $14: 25$ | curve 15:13 |
| 49:14 | corners 12:8 | 16:3,5 17:19 | 55:3 |
| continue 7:21 | 42:23 | 19:22 53:17,18 | curved 15:2 |
| 7:21 16:12,24 | corps 47:1,7 | created 26:12 | customers 24:4 |
| 43:23 64:10 | 57:16 | 26:14 42:2 | cut 45:13,21 |
| continuing | correct 47:1 | creates 11:14 | 46:3 |
| 12:12 | 49:6 57:12 | creating 16:1 | cutting 38:24 |
| control 49:13 | 58:11 62:10,11 | 17:3 19:8 | cycle 9:21 |
| controls 49:16 | cortes 3:17 | 38:16 | cyclists 13:16 |
| convenience | cost 73:4 | cristiano 2:25 | d |
| 6:841:1 | counsel 77:11 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { critical } \\ 70 \cdot 0 \end{array}$ | $\text { d } 4: 1$ |
| conversation | 77:14 78:7,10 | 70:9 | dampen 38:18 |
| 18:6 29:6 30:2 | count 45:23 | cross 26:2 | dan 2:10 3:10 |
| 31:4,6 45:24 | counts 70:9 | crossing 5:20 | dates 51:3 |
| 48:4 55:12 | couple 4:11 | 12:11,13 30:11 | dawson 2:19 |
| 56:23 57:22 | 27:24 33:1 | 32:19 35:22 | $4: 2,639: 12$ |
| 58:1 62:7 | 35:20 36:3 | 56:19 | $\text { day } 28: 23$ |
| conversations | 54:8 65:17,24 | cruise 8:1 | $\text { days } 76: 3$ |
| 25:15 | 66:21 69:17 | crush 48:16 | de 49:23 54:13 |
| convinced | 73:12,21 76:3 | cul 54:13 | deal 26:5 70:25 |
| 57:21 | course 5:10 | current 10:22 | 71:4 |
| cool 66:24 | 8:24 10:9 | 11:13 12:24 | debating 10:18 |
| coordinate | 19:14 21:8 | 30:23 31:18 | deborah 2:15 |
| 42:14 | 30:9 | 34:11 37:16 | dec 57:16 |
| coordinated | court 28:11 | 38:23 39:8,25 | decades 63:5 |
| 44:13 | 59:5 61:1 | $40: 744: 3$ | decided 68:23 |


| decisions 45:13 | 18:10 19:15 | dialogue 57:1 | discuss 25:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| deck 13:5,5,8,9 | 21:23 25:22 | diana 2:25 | 32:4 |
| 13:10,10,11 | 27:2 28:1 | dick 2:14 3:21 | discussed 8:24 |
| 52:17,17 | 30:25 32:5 | dickson 3:10 | 26:7 |
| dedicated 55:9 | 36:2 44:24 | dictates 52:6 | discussing |
| define 10:5 | 45:21 48:9,11 | difference | 32:13 |
| 16:15 | 48:15,22 50:8 | 39:15 | discussions 8:7 |
| defined 24:12 | 50:9,21 51:8 | different 10:19 | distinguish |
| 25:22 56:18,20 | 51:13 52:20 | 27:19 30:4 | 22:16,17 |
| definitely 65:3 | 53:16,21 54:23 | 33:2 34:17 | docks 43:2 |
| 65:19 | 57:5,19 60:8 | 36:3,7 54:3,5 | documents |
| deliberatively | 60:15 61:17,24 | 54:22 | 8:21 |
| 31:20 | 63:1 67:15,17 | differently | doing 4:13 5:9 |
| delineating | 70:6 74:17 | 74:23 | 20:8 23:18 |
| 40:3 | designed 11:21 | difficult 15:18 | 24:2,8 27:21 |
| dep 44:25 | 13:11 14:2,7 | 21:1 25:6 | 29:19 37:13 |
| 47:20 | 22:3 37:11,12 | 29:19 38:10 | 38:14 46:12 |
| deployable | 51:11 56:1 | difficulty 42:5 | 49:11 71:18 |
| 32:17 34:2 | designer 66:6 | 42:12 | 73:14,16 |
| 35:3,6,8,21 | designing | dig 44:2 46:8 | dominated |
| 36:4,13,14 | 63:17 | digestible 9:4 | 23:9 |
| 52:18 54:11 | desk 36:19,21 | digital 77:8 | door 16:10 |
| deployables | despo 2:5 | 78:3 | dot 44:22,25 |
| 30:10 32:10,11 | destruction | diligently 4:16 | dotted 20:9 |
| 33:4,25 34:17 | 30:10 | dimensional | double 47:23 |
| 52:9,14 | detail 30:6 | 71:1 | dovetail 20:17 |
| deposition 77:1 | 40:16 55:11 | diminishes | downtown |
| depth 7:1 | 58:21 | 6: | 41:11,19 |
| deregulated | detailed 56:1 | dining 21:8 | draft 62:10 |
| 49:19 | details 8:17 | 23:10 24:3 | drainage 8:11 |
| design 4:6,15 | 51:12 | direct 26:13 | drawings 73:11 |
| 5:6,8,15 6:1 | developing | direction 74:17 | duck 64:13 |
| 7:9,25 8:14,20 | 30:2 | discourage | 65:15 |
| 9:11,20 10:13 | development | 60:17 61:24 | dudley 3:4 |
| 11:24 14:17 | 8:14 |  |  |


| duly 77:5 <br> dumpster 43:3 <br> duration 51:5 <br> durations <br> 51:11 <br> dweller 58:5 | egress $13: 21$ $22: 545: 4$ eight $35: 19$ either $41: 442: 3$ $53: 11$ element $\quad 17: 6$ | elevated $\quad 20: 16$ elevation $30: 24$ $35: 18 \quad 61: 13$ emails $5: 12$ emergency 44:10,14 $45: 16$ | $12: 2417: 2$ $27: 15$ environmental 41:4 envisioning $20: 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e | 0:3 21:2 | emma 2:20 | equal 27:2 |
| e $2: 1,13: 1,14: 1$ | $3: 20$ 30:7 | 3:1 | equipment |
| 4:1 8:1 64:11 | :11 52:2 | employed | 72:14 74:6 |
| earlier 41:25 | 53:9 | 77:11,14 78:8 | 74:18 |
| 0:24 71:9 | elements 8:18 | 78:11 | es 77:4 |
| early 11:25 | 10:18,19 11:11 | employee 77:13 | especially |
| ease $9: 3$ | 11:20,23 12:22 | 78:10 | 33:25 |
| easier 72:24 | 13:12 14:17 | encourage 50:3 | esplanade 13:4 |
| easily 36:14 | 15:14,16 16:3 | encouraging | 13:7,15 14:18 |
| east 12:11 | 16:6,23 17:8 | 36:20 | 15:18 21:13,15 |
| 17:25 19:5 | 17:24 18:2,20 | ends 31:2 52:13 | 24:19,24 25:1 |
| $41: 24 \text { 42:1,7 }$ | 19:5,12,20 | enforced 47:17 | 26:14,15 27:17 |
| $47: 17,2548: 2$ | 21:9,12,13,21 | enforcement | 34:1 39:8 |
| 65:8,9 68:20 | 22:7,11,15,20 | 48:3 | 52:15,15 55:8 |
| $70: 21$ | 23:3,11,23,24 | enforces 47:14 | 59:11 60:4,10 |
| eastside 5:21 | 23:25 26:9,11 | engagement | 60:12,19,23 |
| 44:15,20 | 26:25 27:10,19 | 8:24 | 68:25 |
| easy $22: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 6,13,14 \\ & 29: 1230: 21 \end{aligned}$ | engineered <br> 37:14 | essentially 13:5 et $51 \cdot 11$ |
| 34:20,20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:12 } 30: 21 \\ & 31: 1932: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 37:14 engineering | et 51:11 evacuation |
| edge $31: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 1932: 8 \\ & 34: 22 \text { 35:3 } \end{aligned}$ | engineering $42: 6,12,17$ | evacuation 62:20 63:5 |
| 39:25 40:7,8 | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 22 \text { 35:3 } \\ & 36: 1341: 12,19 \end{aligned}$ | $48: 9,18 \text { 50:22 }$ | evaluate 74:8 |
| $53: 4,568: 1$ | $42: 1,744: 17$ | engineers $47: 1$ | evaluating 30:3 |
|  | 45:3,4,7,9,11 | 47:7 | evening 4:3,10 |
| effectively | 45:12 48:8,19 | enjoy 12:1 | 4:21,25 7:7 |
| 10:17 15:19 | 53:11 65:9,13 | enjoys 58:16 | event 25:2 |
| 30:23 | 66:10 74:25,25 | entrance 26:15 | 37:16 44:9 |
| effort 23:6 | elevate 20:13 | environme | events 21:7 |
| 42:17 |  | 10:22 11:13,15 | 38:19 63:5 |



| fischman 2:7 | forced 48:1 | garden 16:21 | 34:15 36:1,24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fit 35:4 | foregoing 77:3 | 22:22 23:24 | 37:2,5,9,19 |
| fits 74:11 | 77:4 78:4 | garrett 2:13 | 38:2 39:4,11 |
| five $55: 18$ | forest 64:17,17 | gate 35:6 | 39:17,20 41:7 |
| 62:15 | format 44:3 | gates 33:3,4 | 41:15,22 43:14 |
| flap $47: 18$ | forth 25:15 | 36:8,9,11 | 43:22 44:12 |
| flip 30:18 32:19 | 33:16 | 44:19,19 | 45:22 46:20 |
| 35:14 | forward 61:18 | gateway 28:18 | 47:2,6,11 49:7 |
| flood 10:8 | 69:22 71:5 | 28:19 29:5,7,9 | 49:12 50:2 |
| 13:19 17:24 | found 37:22 | 29:24,25 52:8 | 51:6,21 52:1 |
| 20:15 22:4 | four 24:9 54:18 | gathering 69:7 | 52:12 53:23 |
| 28:6 37:12 | frame 29:18 | gazebo 66:4 | 54:4,20 55:15 |
| 44:9,11 49:13 | friends 72:18 | 67:19 | 56:14 58:9 |
| 49:16 53:12 | front 18:25 | general 50:25 | 59:6 62:25 |
| 65:10,12 | 20:4 34:12 | 60:4 | 65:2,14 72:3,6 |
| flooding 25:3,3 | 43:12 52:8 | generally 34:21 | 75:2 |
| floor 20:1 30:5 | 71:18 | 35:11 45:6 | go 4:21 7:10,15 |
| floors 28:8 | fuerst $2: 8$ | 48:25 | 8:17 9:12 10:3 |
| focus 5:14,18 | fully 64:25 69:4 | gentleman | 11:18 12:17,19 |
| 5:21 22:14 | fun 76:4 | 33:23 71:14 | 12:21 15:24 |
| focused 42:17 | function 48:11 | getting 17:21 | 16:14 18:4 |
| focusing 5:17 | further 4:23 | 71:23 | 19:5 20:4,18 |
| folks 9:5 10:20 | 5:8 54:12,16 | giant 54:13 | 21:16 22:12 |
| 11:15 14:9 | 56:13 58:1 | give 9:14 10:24 | 23:4 26:25 |
| 33:12,12 42:19 | 61:8 72:9 | 14:11 20:14 | 28:18 29:25 |
| 43:13 62:14 | 77:13 78:9 | 35:21 47:16 | 30:17 32:1 |
| 63:15 65:18 | future 5:23 | 64:18,20 | 33:11 39:11 |
| follow 16:24 | 14:4 38:14,19 | given 51:16 | 43:18 48:10 |
| 53:23 | 38:20 45:19 | 52:6 | 50:20 51:14 |
| foot 14:617:17 | 49:20 69:22 | giving 36:20 | 52:25 53:16 |
| 38:5 46:25 | 70:13 | 73:20,21 | 54:11 59:8 |
| 47:2,3,4,6 49:5 | g | glad 5:2 | 61:8,9,18,18 |
| 61:12 |  | glus 2:18 7:7,8 | 62:16 64:7 |
| footprint 14:7 | gap $46: 2547: 2$ | 14:16 29:25 | 66:3 68:2,4,7 |
|  | $47: 3,6$ | 30:15 32:3 | 68:12 69:17 |


| 71:24,24 72:9 | 60:18 61:9 | green 14:21,23 | h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75:8,25 | 62:12,15 64:25 | 14:25 15:3,4,6 | habitable 58:8 |
| goal 34:19 | 65:10,11 66:20 | 15:7,9,11 16:2 | half 9:21 18:2,3 |
| goes 8:21 16:25 | 69:16,17 71:4 | 16:4,12 17:19 | 73:8 |
| 25:11 60:22 | 71:22 72:11,13 | 19:16,22,23 | halfway 16:23 |
| going 4:19,24 | 73:8 74:4 75:7 | 22:8,18 23:21 | halfay 24:10 |
| 5:14,17,19 | 75:16,19 76:3 | 26:17 | hallway 4:18 |
| 6:22,23 7:4,5,9 | good 4:3,22 7:7 | greenery 19:7,8 | hand 7:5 65:18 |
| 7:13 9:12 | 15:1 21:16 | 22:7 66:14 | 73:9 74:15 |
| 10:16,16,25 | 24:9 34:18 | greenway 12:6 | handle 7:4 |
| 11:1,2,3,4,6,8 | 35:5 45:17 | greenwich 10:9 | 13:11 |
| 11:18,21,22 | 48:8 52:12 | 10:16 | handling 28:5 |
| 12:2,17,19,21 | 53:1,24 54:21 | gregorio 2:11 | 48:4 |
| 14:1,12,24 | 63:20 | ground 17:13 | happen 27:23 |
| 15:3,15,15,24 | gordon 2:24 | 20:1 24:14 | 34:3 65:1 69:8 |
| 16:14 18:3,8 | gotten 4:22 | 28:8 32:12,20 | happy 64:1 |
| 19:19 20:13,14 | governed 45:15 | 34:2 35:6 | hard 21:4,19 |
| 20:15,19 21:21 | grabs 40:23 | 39:16 | 22:15 23:12 |
| 21:22,25 22:11 | grade 27:7 | groups 13:18 | 37:22 39:24 |
| 23:4 24:7,19 | 56:19 | grove 31:11,12 | 63:24 64:12 |
| 24:25 25:1,10 | gradually | 31:13,21 | 67: |
| 25:20,21 26:25 | 20:16 | grown 64:25 | hardscape 21 |
| 27:4,5,10,23 | graphics 71:3 | guess 39:6 | harris 35:25 |
| 28:5,7 29:12 | grateful 40:21 | 44:23 | 36:1,1 |
| 32:13 33:11,16 | grates 20:17 | guidance 47:8 | harrison 12:12 |
| 34:3 35:10,10 | gratitude 4:9 | 48:1,3 49:15 | 41:11,18 54:17 |
| 35:12 37:1,21 | gray 72:22 | 50:11,23 | harsh 52:4 |
| 39:7 40:6,8,23 | great 4:16 | guideline 47:10 | head 36:21 |
| 40:24 43:8,10 | 23:19 30:18 | 47:17,22 49:5 | heading 41:11 |
| 44:13,20,25 | 35:7,16 41:7 | guidelines | 41:19 |
| 45:13 46:3,4,5 | 44:12 45:22 | 47:13 | health 63:20 |
| 46:10,10 48:21 | 49:12 65:2,14 | guys 61:15 | hear 6:7 14:10 |
| 51:9 52:25 | 70:25 71:4 | gwen 2:19 4:6 | 43:13 51:2 |
| 54:6,23 55:8 | 74:10 | 5:1 | 55:13 61:4 |
| 57:12 59:8,18 |  |  | 67:2 |


| heard 7:3 8:9 | highlight 11:3 | hydrodynamics | implausible |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:6 13:13 | highlighted | 9:24 | 57:17 |
| 16:16 18:20 | 10:5 | hydrostatic | importance |
| 20:21,21,22 | hitting 24:20 | 37:12 | 16:17 31:11,12 |
| 27:20,21 31:9 | hold 37:11,12 | hyman 3:7 | 31:15 |
| 43:24 67:12 | 37:15 38:17 | i | important |
| 74:14 75:24 | 39:20 47:19 | ibarra 2:22 3:9 | 10:11 16:19 |
| hearing 62:14 | 52:18 | ideas 4:11 | 25:22 41:2 |
| heavy 35:9 | hole 71:1 | identification | 45:25 50:7 |
| height 18:24 | holly 78:2,18 | $7: 23$ | 62:6 |
| 27:13 35:20 | honestly 53:15 | illness 63:23 | improve 15:7 |
| 36:2,5 72:22 | 54:23 | image 9:18 | 23:6 |
| 73:1 | hospital 45:12 | 11:1,2,4 31:25 | improvements |
| heights 36:12 | hot 28:16 | 39:21 51:17 | 59:19,24 |
| hello 5:3 | hours 4:11 | 61:19 | improving |
| help 14:3 70:14 | 58:17 | images 7:11 | 13:22 |
| 70:25 71:4 | house 43:1,16 | 10:25 11:1 | inch 48:15 |
| helpful 40:12 | howes 2:15 | 31:5 33:5 | inches 61:13 |
| 40:14 70:11 | hrpt 38:15 39:1 | 37:23 59:1 | include 56:8 |
| 71:10,13 | hudson 10:11 | 71:10 | included 56:10 |
| herculean | 13:15 30:12 | imagine 58:17 | includes 55:25 |
| 58:13 | 35:23 37:23 | impact $11: 14$ | incorporate |
| hereto 77:15 | hug 10:18 | 21:14,20,23 | 66:9 |
| 78:11 | 12:12 | 29:8 31:21 | incorporating |
| $\text { hi } 5: 259: 14$ | huge 20 | 32:16 57:8,9 | 34:11 |
| $19: 14$ | hugh 44:16,18 | 57:15,24 74:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { increase } \\ & 22: 17 \text { 23:21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| high 1:11 15:17 | hundred 9:21 | $29: 24 \text { 46:11 }$ | increased 60:9 |
| 15:20,21,22 | hung 31:19,19 | 51:20 | increasing |
| 21:13 35:17 | hunger 18:23 | impacting | 15:10 28:15 |
| 36:2 49:22 | 18:25 19:11 | $74: 12,12,24$ | incredible |
| 61:15 72:19 | hurricane | impacts 12:7 | $58: 21$ |
| higher 35:21 | 48:13 | $12: 1425: 13$ | indicate 46:1 |
| 36:12 61:13 | hydraulics 9:23 |  | information |
| 73:3 |  |  | 4:12 46:4 |


| :15 | interrupt $48: 11$ <br> interventions <br> $33: 236: 3$ <br> intimately <br> $70: 17$ <br> introduce $69: 1$ <br> introducing <br> 60:17 <br> invite $40: 24$ <br> $41: 5,646: 12$ <br> involved $70: 17$ <br> involvement <br> $53: 24$ <br> iris $53: 12$ <br> issues $12: 9$ <br> $63: 21$ <br> it'll $35: 1438: 10$ <br> $72: 19$ <br> iterations <br> $54: 22$ <br> j <br> jack $48: 14$ <br> january $40: 25$ <br> jared $2: 3$ <br> jeremy $2: 9$ <br> job $1: 20$ <br> joe $3: 12$ <br> joining $5: 4$ <br> joint $8: 1$ <br> jose $3: 3$ <br> jot $6: 10$ <br> judgement <br> $50: 10,13,21,22$ <br> jump $37: 1$ | une | 45:19 48:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| infrastructure |  | jurisdiction | 50:11 51:1 |
| 62:23 |  | 49:10 | 51:11 52:10,16 |
| itial 55:13 |  | k | 52:25,25 53:19 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { nitially } & 12: 5 \\ 56: 7 & \end{array}$ |  | ina 2: | 54:25 56:16 |
| inland 54:12,15 |  |  |  |
| 54:16,18 |  | 19 | 64:23 66:5 |
| input 5:11 |  |  | 67:9,10,12,13 |
| 40:20 |  | pt 66:13 | 67:19,23 68:3 |
| stall 6 |  | kicking 44: | 69:24 70:18,22 |
| stallation |  | kids 72:17 | 70:22,24 71:8 |
| 32:6 |  | kimberlae 2: | 73:20 74:11 |
| stalled 41:9 |  | kind 20:16 54:7 | knowledge |
| 1:17 62:21 |  | 4:11 | 43:4 77:10 |
| stalling 63:8 |  | 74:3,7 | 78:6 |
| structor 24:17 |  | kirk 2:24 | known 60:4 <br> kowalski 27: |
| insurance |  | as | 59:23 60:2 |
| 49:14,19,20,25 |  | ow |  |
| tact 18:19 |  | 12:4,7 13:2,14 | land 35:1 |
| 35:13 |  | 13:18 14:10,22 | landscape |
| integrated 19:6 |  | 16:17,20 18:17 | 63:18 64:2 |
| intent 22:4 |  | 18:22 20:8,25 | 70:23 75:10 |
| interactions |  | 21:2,3,5 22:23 | landscaping |
| 8:9 |  | 22:25 23:17 | 22:18 23:8,20 |
| interest 7:2 $31 \cdot 1560 \cdot 15$ |  | 24:5 26:20 | lane 10:14 |
| interested |  | 27:4,14,17,24 | large 12:3 |
| - 18:21 19:1 |  | 28:1,4,7,12,24 | 44:17,18,19 |
| 77:15 78:1 |  | 31:10 33:8 | 48:15 |
| interestin |  | 35:11,13 36:14 | larger 53:19 |
| 13.471:12 |  | 36:17 40:22,22 | 72:1 |
|  |  | 42:8,22 43:23 | latest 9:16 |
| 71:11 |  | 43:24 44:19 | lawn 31:4,6 |
|  |  | 45:2,10,15,15 | 74:12 |


| leads 72:20 | 36:24 54:7 | 74:23 | 64:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| leave 7:15 34:6 | 55:18 61:8 | looking 5:15 | louder 44:6 |
| leaving 18:10 | 69:1 73:11,19 | 11:21,22 15:4 | love 55:13 |
| 18:15 | 74:11,22,22,24 | 30:9 36:3 42:2 | 66:16 75:3 |
| lee $2: 2374: 20$ | live 5:4 11:15 | 51:22 54:14 | lower 55:20 |
| left 6:7 18:19 | 12:25 58:24 | 60:2 62:8 | 61:16 75:13 |
| 24:10 29:12 | 70:16 | 67:20 68:20,20 | m |
| 65:18 | livestream | 69:1,22,24 | made 9:12 |
| legend 39:19 | 33:14 | 74:2 75:19 | 70:24,24 |
| leisure 31:4,6 | livestreaming | looks 71:21 | madonick 1:6 |
| length 32:4 | 14:9 62:14 | 72:8 | 2:17 4:24 5:1 |
| letter 49:24 | loading 43:2 | losing 59:8 | 14:8 40:10 |
| level 55:21 | lobby 76:1 | loss 37:23 | 42:25 50:5 |
| levels 73:2 | locate 52:14 | lost 46:10 72:5 | 53:13 57:3 |
| leverage 48:14 | location 18:8 | lot 4:19 7:11 | 61:9 62:12 |
| liberty 25:24 | 26:19 32:20 | 9:18 10:6,7,19 | 63:11 65:4 |
| liked 69:3 | 35:8,16 36:6 | 12:5,6 13:13 | 67:8,11,14 |
| likely 45:4 | 56:17,20 57:18 | 14:4 15:7 | 68:13,24 69:16 |
| lily 18:6,17 | 62:24 | 16:16 17:23 | 71:14 74:16 |
| 19:6 | locations 31:2 | 18:6,12,16 | 75:7 |
| limit 60:22 | 35:2 | 19:21,22 20:19 | main 28:9 |
| limited 52:14 | logic 65:7,7 | 20:20,21 21:4 | maintain 21:19 |
| limiting 57:10 | long 6:5 21:18 | 21:5 22:6 | 24:6 34:20 |
| 57:10 | 47:12 51:3 | 25:15,22,23 | 52:22 54:14 |
| line 15:5 20:9 | 64:17,22 | 27:20,21 29:6 | 58:14 74:13 |
| 39:25 75:15 | longer 70:7 | 30:1 31:9 | maintaining |
| lined 33:16 | look 6:23 20:5 | 32:13 35:9 | 13:21,21 31:16 |
| link 14:12 | 34:5 53:16,22 | 38:11 39:22 | maintenance |
| list 2:2 3:2 | 54:25,25 55:7 | 42:5,9,10,11 | 16:10 50:18 |
| listening 33:14 | 56:11 63:20 | 43:2 46:8 48:8 | make 9:4 19:15 |
| literally 12:23 | 66:11,22,24 | 48:17 52:8 | 22:8 23:19 |
| little 4:129:4 | 67:15 71:2,21 | 55:19 63:18,21 | $29: 7,19 \text { 40:14 }$ |
| 17:21 22:13 | 74:10 | lots 13:15 | 44:1 45:14 |
| 24:11 29:15 | looked 54:21 | 27:19 40:17 | 62:13,16 63:12 |
| 33:25 35:17 | 55:1,2,5 70:2 | 57:4,5,6 63:19 | 63:14 67:23 |

[make - mwetta]

| 69:23 71:5,19 | mature 20:23 | 26:21 46:2 | misstating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:12,12,17 | 45:20 48:19 | memory 54:24 | 57:13 |
| 73:5 74:8,13 | maximize 53:9 | mention 67:6 | mitigation |
| 74:18 | mcc $12: 11,12$ | mentioned | 65:12 |
| makes 66:10 | 12:16 | 19:25 46:18 | mixed 59:13 |
| making 40:11 | mean 29:16 | 74:20 | mobilize 34:24 |
| 57:16 | 42:22 43:22 | merges 59:25 | model 70:21 |
| management | 50:6 56:16 | microphone | modeling 73:6 |
| 45:16 | 64:24 65:15,15 | 6:12 43:12 | models 70:23 |
| manhattan | 67:7 | 63:13 | moderated 1:6 |
| 47:18 75:13 | meander 28:2 | microphones | modestil 1:19 |
| mann 3:20 | 60:14,17 61:6 | 33:21 | 77:2,20 |
| map 49:23,24 | 61:22,23 | middle 38:4 | modify $13: 9$ |
| mapping 49:14 | meandered | milestone 5:6 | moment 75:15 |
| maps 49:16 | 28:4 | 8:16 | 75:16 |
| march 40:25 | means 57:23 | mindful 21:6 | monolithic |
| marina 6:2 | mechanically | mine 41:6 | 11:22 |
| 37:25 56:25 | 35:12 | minimal 11:14 | months 8:10 |
| 57:8,9 59:23 | mechanism | minimally | moore 10:4,16 |
| 60:3 | 35:14 | 12:23 | motion 9:19 |
| marine 62:23 | median 42:3 | minimize 12:14 | move 7:13 |
| marlin 3:11 | medical 63:23 | 17:2 21:14,20 | 14:23 18:14 |
| marrone 3:12 | meet $23: 1,1$ | 29:8 30:10 | 25:24,24 26:1 |
| mascarino 2:6 | 60:3 | 31:21 32:15 | 31:20 34:23 |
| 43:10 | meeting 1:1 5:5 | minimizing | 42:15 54:15 |
| massive 44:11 | 5:14 44:4 | 21:23 | 56:12 57:7,7 |
| master 4:24 | 46:13 61:14 | minimum 60:7 | 62:12 |
| match 10:21 | 70:8 71:9 76:5 | minor 9:15 | moving 18:11 |
| 11:12 17:1 | meetings 5:11 | minute 14:12 | 41:25 56:23,25 |
| 29:18,20 | 70:3,8 | minutes 6:4 | 57:11,14 65:8 |
| matches 27:11 | meets 13:15 | 7:20 24:9,9 | 65:8 73:2 |
| 30:23 | 26:16 | 62:15 65:17 | mwetta 2:4 |
| material 7:18 | members 7:24 | missing 70:20 |  |
| 8:23 | memorial | 71:4 |  |
|  | 18:23,25 19:11 |  |  |


| n | 77:21 | ny 1:13 | 65:23,25 66:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n 2:1 3:1 4:1 | nice 4:8 64:24 | 0 | 66:24,24 69:16 |
| $\text { name } 7: 8$ | $\text { niro } 3: 22$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { o } & 4: 1 \end{array}$ | 75:7 oncoming |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { narrow } 38: 7 \\ 54: 15 \end{array}$ | nodes 69:2,3 | oasis 56:2 <br> objective 75:1 | 47:19 |
| natural 34:5 | nora 1:6 2:17 | observation | ones 34:8 |
| 56:1 | 4:23 8:4 9:6 | $53: 21$ | ongoing 49:20 |
| naturally 64:4 | north 6:2 10:4 | obvious 23:18 | online 5:4,13 |
| nature 31:3,7 | 10:16 13:14 | 36:10 | 33:12 37:22 |
| 35:1 55:24 | 15:4 18:12,14 | obviously | 41:9 43:13 |
| near 13:3 20:6 | 0:18 37:24 | 25:12 34:5,25 | 45:18 61:10 |
| 28:18 52:18 | 9:8 56:8,8 | 53:5 72:11 | 62:13 |
| necessary | 0:3 63:10 | occurred 25:4 | open 7:20 8:6 |
| 24:18 38:20 | northwest | ocean 17:11,13 | 14:14 52:9 |
| 74:9 | 4:5 | 17:14 | 53:8,8,11,19 |
| need 29:7 32:15 | notary 77:20 | offering 69:5 | 54:13,14 75:16 |
| 34:13 35:13 | note 11:19 | officer 77:1,2 | opening 52:23 |
| 40:15 58:12 | 12:13 40:14 | offset 17:15 | openings $22: 5$ |
| 69:10 74:11,21 | 75:22 | 73:11 | 28:5 33:3 52:7 |
| needed 50:19 | noted 10:9 1 | oh 36:24 39:4,6 | 52:8 53:18 |
| needs 19:2 | noting 73:10 november 1:7 | 55:15 64:15,15 | opens 69:15 operation |
| 56:18 58:6 | november 1:7 nuanced 30:6 | 68:8 71:24 | operation 50:18 |
| neighborhood 34:7 | nudge 74:11 | $\begin{array}{cl} \text { okay } & 4: 27: 22 \\ 9: 13 & 10: 3 \end{array}$ | 50:18 opine $40: 20$ |
| neighbors | nudging 73:18 | $28: 11 \text { 29:22 }$ | opinion 69:15 |
| 63:19 69:11 | number 7:10 | $30: 15,15,20$ | opportunities |
| neither 77:11 | 30:3 35:2 36:7 | 33:10 34:16 | 14:25 16:1 |
| 78:7 | 5:20 46:9 | 36:23 38:2 | 17:19 18:14 |
| net 59:11 | 0:19 54:22 | 39:24,24 40:10 | opportunity |
| new 1:13 14:18 | 6:15 | 40:16 41:8,22 | 14:24 16:3 |
| 17:7,15,16 | numbers 17:11 | 43:14 46:24 | 22:6 23:21 |
| 18:2 34:12 | 17:12 | 50:24 51:2 | 26:17 38:16,21 |
| 39:13,14 42:14 | numerous 8:9 | $52: 1 \text { 54:20 }$ | 69:6 74:7 |
| 44:14 62:21 | 51:3 | 55:17 57:9 | opposed 22:3 |
| 66:6 67:7 |  | 59:6 60:1 |  |


| option 30:8,8 | 44:11 51:17 | passed 8:16 | 46:19 50:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| options 30:4,4 | 55:25 57:24 | passibility 44:9 | 63:21 64:3,20 |
| order 12:14 | 59:9 70:1,19 | passive 34:22 | 68:6 70:15,17 |
| 58:13 73:17,21 | 70:21,25 71:19 | past 8:10 63:5 | 74:14 76:2 |
| original 9:9 | 71:25 72:19 | path 12:9 14:19 | peoples 64:13 |
| 71:22 | 73:12,12,19,21 | 15:2,4 24:19 | percent 4:6 5:6 |
| ortega 2:11 | 74:12,25 75:12 | 25:3,11,14,25 | 5:15 7:6 8:15 |
| outcome 77:16 | parks 58:15 | 26:2 38:24 | 8:16,19 11:25 |
| 78:12 | part 8:15 23:19 | 56:19 59:5,13 | 51:8 |
| outset 34:19 | 25:5,7 28:20 | 59:24 60:6,13 | perceptive |
| outside 13:3 | 39:21 47:15 | 60:14,19,25 | 73:10 |
| 41:10,18 45:12 | 50:11,23 54:15 | 61:12,15,20 | perform 29:7 |
| 56:3 60:21 | 62:6 67:3 68:3 | 70:7 | 32:15 |
| overall 23:19 | 68:21 74:1,3 | paths 59:15 | performed 63:6 |
| 67:17 | participants | pathways 55:9 | performs 32:15 |
| overshot 11:17 | 75:19 | patience 14:15 | pergola 66:3,5 |
| overview 8:6 | participating | pattern 11:8 | 66:6,9 67:19 |
| overwhelming | 6:17 33:14,18 | pavings 18:21 | perimeter |
| 26:20 | 75:9,14 | pca 62:8 | 60:20 |
| own 54:1 | particular 7:2 | pedestrian | period 9:21 |
| owner 7:24 | 14:3 18:1 21:9 | 10:10 13:14 | permitted |
| p | 28:24 32:10,16 | 38:24 55:10 | 57:18 |
| p $2: 1,13: 1,1$ | 42:11 51:24 | 60:6,24 | permitting |
| 4:1 | $54: 9$ | pedestrians <br> 13:16 17:3 | $\begin{array}{r} 57: 15 \\ \text { person } 33: 1 \end{array}$ |
| p.m. 1:8 76:6 | $18: 23 \quad 19: 23$ | 59:12 60:1 | $58: 18 \text { 75:18,18 }$ |
| parapet 38:17 | 22:25 28:16 | 63:18 | perspective |
|  | 37:24 51:22 | people 6:16 | 49:19 58:5 |
| $\text { park } 1: 1 \text { 4:3,5 }$ | 59:4 | 12:15,24 17:3 | peter 2:18 7:5,8 |
| 7:24 10:11 | parties 77:12 | 19:16 24:4 | 50:5,5,16 |
| 13:15 16:15,15 | 77:14 78:8,11 | 26:18 27:14 | 66:21 73:9 |
| 16:17,24 20:20 | partners 8:2 | 29:8 33:9,17 | 74:15 |
| 21:10 24:7,11 | parts 25:7 51:3 | 33:17,20 34:24 | peterson 3:11 |
| 26:13 28:22 | pass 59:4 | 36:20 40:19 | phase 8:16 |
| 37:24 40:25 |  | 43:25 44:2 | 11:25 51:8 |


| phasing 51:11 | placing 63:17 | 73:13 74:1,5 | position 36:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| photo 11:2 | plan 16:22 19:4 | 74:18 | possible 6:16 |
| photos 41:12 | 19:18 31:18 | playing 72:19 | 15:11 20:23 |
| 41:19 | 44:15 45:2 | plaza 18:4,5,23 | 24:6 52:10,23 |
| physical 11:14 | 53:1,1 55:16 | 20:19 21:17,17 | 53:17 67:18 |
| 12:24 27:15 | 61:19 | 21:24 22:19,22 | possibly 31:16 |
| 40:6 | planned 21:7 | 23:23 27:9,9 | post 4:6 5:15 |
| pickleball | planning 45:21 | 29:5,7,9 56:8 | 7:6 14:12 |
| 28:11,12 | 72:12 | 60:2 | potentially |
| picture 4:22 | plans 48:25 | please 6:19 | 57:23 |
| 41:13,20 43:15 | 62:10 63:1 | 33:15,18 48:25 | pour 11:22 |
| 61:3,6 64:24 | plant 15:5 | 51:15 55:14 | powerful 65:7 |
| 68:6 | planting 14:25 | 63:14 64:10,22 | practice $48: 18$ |
| pictures 10:23 | 16:12 17:19 | 65:5 71:15 | prepare 8:20 |
| 66:4 | 28:15 64:24 | plus 60:24 | prepared 78:3 |
| piece 38:7 | plantings 14:21 | point 6:11 8:19 | presence 18:24 |
| pieces 4:18 | 16:2,4,20 | 13:14 20:7 | 36:10 |
| 27:24 | 18:21,22 19:7 | 25:22 30:6 | present 5:21 |
| pier 38:1 | 19:8,14,17 | 44:24 53:9 | 46:5 63:3,4 |
| pinch 13:14 | 22:7 23:7,22 | 56:9 57:16 | presentation |
| 31:17 | 32:672:10 | 59:2,2 65:2 | 4:16 6:3,4,5,10 |
| pink 39:25 | platform 13:5 | 72:20 | 7:19 8:6 11:9 |
| pinned 51:12 | 14:2 37:24 | pointed 46:2 | 40:12 43:11 |
| place 17:23 | 38:8,15,23,24 | points 31:17 | 69:21,23 |
| 18:11,19 21:7 | 39:13,14,14 | 61:23 | presented |
| 24:12,13 26:2 | 40:1 54:13 | police 26:21 | 70:21 |
| 26:6 27:18,18 | 58:7,10,12 | 46:2 | presenting 7:18 |
| 32:12,25 34:21 | platforms | pollinator | 70:11 |
| 34:23 36:9,13 | 20:10,12,13,14 | 16:21 | presents 29:1 |
| 36:16 40:4,24 | 25:1,2 | pond 18:7,17 | preservation |
| 48:23 52:22 | play 28:12 31:3 | 19:6 | 53:10 |
| 59:12 66:2 | 31:774:13 | port 6:1 18:9 | preserving |
| 67:25 | playground | 25:9 57:1 | 31:15 60:11 |
| places 10:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 23,2572: 1 \\ & 72: 2.12 .13 \end{aligned}$ | poses 50:14 | president 4:7 |


| press 34:23 | programmatic | 49:6,6,18 51:9 | provide 45:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:13 | 69:2,3,7 | 51:10 65:8,13 | 45:23 49:1 |
| pressed 57:23 | programming | 75:3,11,12,25 | 50:9 64:3 |
| pressure 37:13 | 69:13 | projector 38:4 | provided 74:17 |
| pressures | programs | projects 7:16 | 75:1 |
| 37:15 | 18:23 28:8 | 44:16 75:13 | providers |
| pretty 24:8 | progressing | promenade | 42:13 |
| 52:4 | 8:18 | 64:9 | providing |
| prevent 24:19 | prohibit 59:10 | property 4:7 | 27:22 |
| 24:25 53:3 | project 1:1 4:5 | 15:8 35:1 | provisions |
| previous 28:1 | 4:14 5:7,7 7:1 | 49:18 63:7 | 62:20 |
| 52:7 61:14 | 7:9,12 8:10,13 | propose 23:4 | proximity |
| prior 34:21 | 8:14,18,25 9:1 | proposed 11:18 | 13:17,17 |
| 77:5 | 9:19 10:17 | 16:8 27:2,11 | public 5:10 7:2 |
| priority 20:22 | 11:11,13,18,19 | 30:21 31:5,7 | 8:6 20:22 |
| 63:17 | 12:5,22 13:11 | 31:24 32:8,24 | 58:16 77:20 |
| prison 34:7 | 13:23 14:21 | 32:25 48:22 | pull 29:16 |
| privacy 27:12 | 15:8,14,16 | 68:11 69:3 | pumphouse |
| 30:24 34:11 | 16:5,23 17:2,6 | 71:8 | 20:20 21:10 |
| 37:9,10,18 | 17:8,24 18:2,8 | proposing 11:7 | 24:7,11 26:13 |
| 53:6 | 18:10 19:5,12 | 13:12 52:20 | 55:25,25 57:24 |
| probably 25:6 | 19:20 20:3 | protect 21:19 | purpose 35:4 |
| 35:10 62:15 | 21:9,12,13,21 | 22:3 27:22 | 46:7 49:22 |
| problem 14:10 | 21:24 22:7,11 | 32:14 46:12 | purposes 50:17 |
| proceeding | 23:3,16 24:8 | 73:17,22 | 60:16 |
| 78:4 | 25:6,7,14 26:9 | protected | push 64:7 |
| proceedings | 26:25 27:5 | 62:23 | pushed 12:14 |
| 77:3,5,6,9 78:6 | 28:6,13,14 | protecting | put 4:16 6:20 |
| process 49:2 | 29:12 30:21 | 16:18,19 20:23 | 10:19 14:19 |
| 74:4 | 31:19 32:7,9 | 31:11,12 | 19:21 21:12 |
| product 40:5 | 34:19 35:3,20 | protection | 22:6 26:17 |
| program 31:1,3 | 38:7,13 41:25 | 20:15 28:6 | 33:15 34:20 |
| programing | 42:3,7,11 | 35:15 47:23 | 40:24 42:7 |
| 21:6 | 44:13,15,22 | 53:10,12 68:21 | 58:18 68:22 |
|  | 45:25 48:7,19 |  | 72:14 74:19 |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { putting } 15: 3 \\ 19: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 75:17,22,24 } \\ \text { queuing } \quad 19: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 3,1265: 25 \\ & 68: 2570: 12,13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recommendat... } \\ & 71: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| q | ck 71:19 | 70:13 71:18 | rec |
| q\&a 6:5,5,11 | quickly 7:13 | reaches 5: | 20 |
| 6:19,19,23 7:4 | 58:23 | 8:25 9:3,7,8,12 | 7:24 48 |
| 7:14,20,21 8:7 | quiet 31:13 | 13 40:15 | reconstructin |
| 33:6,15 43:24 | quite 36:14 |  | 20:13 37: |
| 46:12 62:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 1653: 15 \\ & 68: 2 \end{aligned}$ | readily 50:17 <br> reading 6:21 | reconstructio $38: 8$ |
| 65:18 70:12 | 68.2 | realize 34:4 | record 67 |
| qualified 77:7 | r 2:1 3:1 4:1 | really 4:22 8:8 | 1577 |
| question 6:13 | rachel 3:13 | 9:6 21:18 | 78 |
| 34:9,10 37:3,5 | 33:7 59:14 | 22:12 23:12 | recorded 77 |
| 37:7 38:3 39:6 | rainfall 9:24 | 24:15 25:21 $26: 432 \cdot 21$ | recording |
| 39:6 41:15 | raised 67:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 432: 21 \\ & 36: 14 \text { 40:12.15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:24 77:8 } \\ & 78: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 43:6 44:3,8,12 | raju 3:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 14 \text { 40:12,15 } \\ & 40: 2141: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 4 \\ & \text { rector } 34: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 45:17,22 49:12 | ramp 32:7 | 42:17 43:1 | $66: 267: 25$ |
| 50:25 52:12 | ramps 26:14 | 45:15 53:25 | $68: 2,8,9,9$ |
| 53:14,20 54:21 | rather 53:18 | $57: 23 \text { 60:15,17 }$ | recuperate |
| 54:21 56:15,24 | rationale 14:5 | $64: 12,18,20$ | 63:22 |
| 57:8 61:10 | 32:5 38:6 | 66:8 70:9,10 | recurve 30:7 |
| 62:19 65:14 | $\begin{array}{rl}\text { reach } \\ 5: 19,22 \\ 5: 24 & 10.3,3,4,6\end{array}$ | $70: 14,16,17$ | redesign 38:9 |
| $71: 16,18,20$ $72 \cdot 11,1573 \cdot 8$ | 5:24 10:3,3,4,6 | $71: 2,10,19$ | redigging |
| $72: 11,1573: 8$ $74 \cdot 175 \cdot 2,5$ | ,13 | 74:14 | 72:25 |
| questioner | $20: 18,19,24$ | reason 9:19 | reduced 77 |
| 43:15 | 22:10 23:10 | 25:7 28:24 | referring 43:15 |
| questions 4:20 | 25:8 27:16,16 | 39:21 73:14,16 | reflect 31:3 |
| 7:18 9:23 | 27:19 29:10 | reasons 55:4 | reflection 9:10 |
| 30:16,17 33:9 | 31:8 37:11,13 | 69:4 | regato 30:22 |
| 33:9,15 46:9 | 38:6 43:18,19 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { rebecca } & 2: 7\end{array}$ | 31:19 regulator 47.18 |
| 54:19 57:6 | 44:8 45:20 | recap 25:21 | regulator 47:18 |
| 62:17 63:15 | 51:15 55:7 | received 9:11 recognize 15:5 | regulators 47:25 48:2 |
| 65:17,24 67:4 | 58:20 59:15,16 | recognize 15:5 45:24 52:23 |  |
| 69:17 70:16 | 59:16,23 60:2 | 45:24 52:23 |  |


| regulatory | replaced 37:20 | responded 19:3 | 62:25 63:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:13 | replacing 37:17 | response 30:14 | 67:4 70:1 |
| reinstalled | 46:10 | 39:3,10 44:15 | 71:25 74:3 |
| 74:20 | reported 1:19 | 73:24 | risk 8:11 9:25 |
| related 75:17 | represent 9:8 | retain 67:18 | 36:19 49:22 |
| 77:11 78:7 | 11:4 | retaining 26:8 | 50:15 65:16,20 |
| relative 77:13 | representation | retreat 56:1 | 75:11 |
| 78:10 | 12:3,17,20,21 | return 9:21 | risks 50:15 |
| relatively 47:14 | representations | review 48:22 | river 10:11 |
| relax 64:5 | 7:11 | 48:25 | 13:15 16:18,25 |
| reliable 34:20 | represented | revised 5:23 | 37:23 70:21 |
| relocate $42: 14$ | 12:4 30:5 | revision 49:24 | 73:18 |
| relying 50:22 | 62:10 | richelle 1:19 | road 73:1 |
| remain 35:12 | representing | 77:2,20 | roads 44:18 |
| remember 38:5 | 21:22 | right 7:10 8:15 | robust 34:20 |
| 49:17 64:18 | represents | 8:17 13:2,2,2 | 36:12 |
| 70:15 | 23:16 24:17 | 15:6,13,25 | rockefeller |
| remind 7:3 | requested 10:8 | 16:9,21 17:4 | 16:15,15,17 |
| reminder 7:23 | required 36:5 | 17:11 18:15 | 71:19 73:13 |
| remove 43:9 | requirement | 19:15 21:17 | room 31:4,6 |
| 74:4 | 47:1,5,7,10,21 | 23:8 25:20 | 69:24 70:16 |
| removed 56:3 | requirements | 26:8 27:15 | 72:14 |
| 59:11 | 26:16 | 29:21 30:3 | roots 48:10,12 |
| removing 41:9 | residences | 31:12 32:21 | rotate 36:13 |
| 41:17 43:8 | 30:23 | 33:13,23 35:6 | round 57:19,19 |
| rendering | resident 63:19 | 36:24 37:10,10 | 57:19 |
| 29:15 | residents 28:8 | 37:10 39:13,15 | route 5:20 |
| renderings | 63:18 64:21 | 39:24 40:8,9 | rule 60:4 |
| 29:18 56:10 | resilience 75:13 | 41:3 42:16 | run 27:18 |
| repairs 50:18 | resiliency $1: 1$ | 43:16 44:15,19 | 72:14 |
| repeat 30:17 | 4:5 44:16,20 | 44:23 45:11,23 | russ 3:4 |
| 41:15 | 68:3 75:12 | 51:7,12 52:16 | S |
| replace 15:6 | resource 10:10 | 53:1 54:24 |  |
| 74:5 | respond 54:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 9 ~ 60: 1 \\ & 61: 11,12,20 \end{aligned}$ | sac $54: 13$ |


| safety 59:11 | secondary | sense 9:14 | shot 12:1 15:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sage 3:8 | 60:13,24 61:12 | 10:24 14:22 | 15:23 16:7 |
| sandy 25:4 | 61:20 | 22:9 26:19 | 20:6 22:14,19 |
| saul 2:12 | section 22:11 | 48:9,18 66:10 | 22:22 23:15,17 |
| save 30:16 | see $4: 8,17,19$ | 72:21 | 23:24,25 24:1 |
| saw 67:25 | 5:2 10:12,17 | sensitivity 24:3 | 25:19 28:13 |
| 70:20 | 11:8,10 13:24 | sent 5:12 6:21 | 29:13 32:7,22 |
| saying 58:18 | 14:6,11,14,16 | sequence 45:14 | 32:24 |
| 59:10 | 15:19,25,25 | series 10:25 | shots 12:16 |
| says 47:22 | 16:9,11,23 | 48:24 | 15:12 17:22 |
| sbordone 3:14 | 17:8,11,18,25 | services 44:10 | 19:9,10 28:10 |
| scaffolding | 19:4,13,21 | session 36:22 | 29:10 31:22 |
| 68:10,11 | 20:9 22:12,13 | set 26:5 40:7,7 | show 9:16,18 |
| scalable 72:21 | 22:15,23 23:5 | 44:1 61:15 | 10:24,25 24:16 |
| scale 69:6 | 23:14,16 24:15 | 72:9 | 41:12,20 59:24 |
| scape 8:3 32:5 | 26:11 27:18 | setback 71:21 | 61:8,19 72:8 |
| schaefer 2:10 | 30:4 32:19,21 | setting 66:7 | showing 24:1 |
| schedule 8:13 | 33:15 39:24 | settled 10:20 | 25:17 64:2,16 |
| school 1:11 | 44:4,19 51:17 | 55:3 | 73:10 |
| 13:21 15:17,20 | 55:2,2,11,20,21 | seven 8:25 | shown 9:10 |
| 15:21,22 62:18 | 56:2 58:20 | 35:19 | 52:7 56:7 |
| scooters 61:25 | 59:22,24 60:21 | shade 21:5 | shows 8:13 |
| se 40:5 | 60:25 61:21 | 64:18,21 69:5 | 9:19 10:5 |
| seating 21:25 | 62:9 63:21,24 | shaded 40:2 | 25:19 28:14,14 |
| 22:2,20 31:14 | 64:2,16 67:16 | shades 64:16 | 59:5 64:24 |
| 64:3 68:7,10 | 71:1,10,12 | share 4:11 5:19 | shutdown 45:2 |
| 69:5 | 72:18 73:2 | shared 5:6 60:5 | sic 48:15 |
| seatings 68:21 | seedlings 64:23 | shari 3:7 | side 12:6,11 |
| seats 64:1 | seem 51:18 | sharing 5:22,25 | 13:3 15:2,4 |
| 68:19 | 56:9 72:24 | shift 13:131:8 | 17:25 19:5,12 |
| second 11:2 | seems 70:20 | shifted 12:10 | 21:13 27:11 |
| 32:24 37:1,2,5 | seen 4:17 | 17:15 | 29:12 37:25 |
| 37:7 39:13,23 | seepage 13:18 | shoots 23:17 | 38:1 41:24 |
| 73:9,25 74:15 | senior 4:7 | short 33:19 | 42:1,4,7,24 |
|  |  | 47:13 | 43:1,4,7,8 |

[side - specifically]

| 47:18,25 48:2 | 39:7 40:6,8 | 47:22 49:3 | speaker 2:3,4,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51:17,18,19 | 61:13 | 63:11 65:24 | 2:6,7,8,9,10,11 |
| 53:11 54:10 | skills 77:10 | 66:3 68:8 72:1 | 2:12,13,14,15 |
| 56:11 61:1,6 | 78:6 | 73:15 | 2:16,17,18,19 |
| 71:10,10 72:8 | skinny 64:19 | sort 9:2 11:6 | 2:20,21,22,23 |
| 74:24 | slide 32:2,12 | 16:23 22:15 | 2:24,25 3:3,4,5 |
| sides 15:20 | 33:3 34:16 | 24:23 26:22 | 3:6,7,8,9,10,11 |
| siegel 2:9 | 52:7 | 29:3 31:3,23 | 3:12,13,14,15 |
| sight 34:6 | slides 7:10,12 | 35:4 36:15 | 3:16,17,18,19 |
| signature 77:19 | 14:11,13 17:12 | 40:1 43:16 | 3:20,21,22,23 |
| 78:17 | 24:16 30:19,19 | 71:11 | 29:23 30:13 |
| significant 9:8 | 36:19 39:22 | sound 38:4 | 32:1 33:8,24 |
| 10:12 23:6 | 40:13 52:21 | south 12:14 | 35:24 37:4,6 |
| 25:5,9,13 | 64:16 66:22 | 15:2 18:12,14 | 37:17 39:2,9 |
| 27:13 45:3 | slight 14:18 | 27:17 31:8,9 | 39:16,18 42:21 |
| 52:24 57:14 | slightly 17:15 | 32:18 34:1,1,2 | 43:18 44:6,7 |
| significantly | small 38:17 | 35:7 38:1 | 46:17,22 47:3 |
| 49:21 | 48:24 69:6 | 56:11 60:4,23 | 47:9 49:3,9,25 |
| similar 54:16 | 70:4 | 68:25 | 51:14,23 52:2 |
| simply 54:25 | smaller 52:8 | southern 70:1 | 54:2,6 55:6,17 |
| 73:3 | 72:12 | space 11:7 | 56:22 58:4,11 |
| sincere 4:9 | sniegowski | 14:21 15:11 | 59:7,17,21 |
| single 48:23 | 2:20 3:15 | 16:2,4 17:19 | 61:2,5 62:1,9 |
| singular 9:1 | snowplow | 17:20 20:22 | 63:16 65:6,23 |
| sit 15:16,19 | 35:11 | 37:23,25 47:4 | 66:18,23 67:1 |
| 20:10 24:4 | softer 22:8 | 53:8 58:7,14 | 67:3,10,13,22 |
| 26:10 44:25 | solid 30:5,7 | 58:24 69:7,13 | 68:15,18 69:10 |
| 64:5 | 55:19,23 | 74:24 | 69:20 71:17 |
| site 5:11 60:7 | solving 29:3 | spaces 15:9 | 72:4,7 73:23 |
| sitting 23:13 | somebody | 16:5 22:18 | specific $7: 16$ |
| 41:10,17 | 37:22 41:8 | spacing 74:8,21 | 8:8 53:25 75:5 |
| situation 70:12 | sorry 14:8 27:9 | 74:21 | specifically $9: 7$ |
| six 13:25 14:1,6 | 28:11 29:14 | speak 46:18 | 46:8 56:1 |
| 14:19 35:19 | 36:1 37:4 39:4 | 53:7 | 73:13 |
| 38:5,15,16,25 | 39:21 40:11 |  |  |



| 21:7 24:2 | task 52:25 53:3 | 69:22 | 54:870:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37:25 40:4 | team 4:15 5:7 | terrace 16:18 | 74:9 |
| 42:18 53:16,22 | 5:19 7:1,9,23 | 16:25 23:25 | think 21:25 |
| 55:7 63:13 | 8:1 18:8 30:25 | 73:18 | 22:2 24:10 |
| 64:18 67:15 | 38:12 42:6 | terraces 21:8 | 28:10 29:13,14 |
| taken 19:21 | 43:11 50:8,9 | terrell 2:4 | 29:21 33:1 |
| 22:6 26:16 | 52:20 53:16,21 | testifying 77:5 | 35:5 40:18 |
| 70:7 77:3,12 | 57:5,19 60:8 | testing 50:18 | 41:2 43:6,15 |
| 78:9 | 67:15,17 74:17 | thames 29:22 | 43:22 44:2 |
| takers 75:22 | 75:25 | 29:22 30:20 | 45:6 48:20 |
| takes 48:23 | team's 50:21 | 34:8 61:22 | 50:7 51:16 |
| tal 2:8 | technical 9:3 | 66:1,2 68:9 | 52:3 53:24 |
| talk 6:25 7:16 | 20:20 24:11 | thank 5:17:7 | 62:25 64:25 |
| 9:7,22,25 14:4 | 25:5,15 29:2 | 14:14 40:10,11 | 67:5,7 69:5,14 |
| 21:15 27:3 | 42:5 | 41:6 46:16,17 | 70:3,11,14,24 |
| 36:17,21 39:12 | technically | 46:22 62:2 | 70:25 71:3,4 |
| 40:15 43:25 | 16:16 26:23 | 63:16 64:13 | 71:12 |
| 47:12 49:17 | 28:20 29:18 | 71:6,13 73:7 | thinks 48:24 |
| 50:4 51:22 | tell 36:25 37:22 | 75:8 | third 11:3 |
| 65:3,15,20,21 | 46:6 | thanks 4:9 | 72:20 75:2 |
| 66:16 67:21 | template 53:6 | 40:12 49:11 | thirty 4:6 |
| 69:11 75:3,6 | temporarily | 55:14 69:20,20 | thoma 2:5 |
| talked 12:4 | 40:4 | theme 16:12 | thompson 78:2 |
| 33:24 34:1 | ten 61:12 70:3 | 20:24 51:15 | 78:18 |
| 38:5 46:1 | 73:1 | themes 43:25 | thought 19:22 |
| 48:21 | tenable 43:20 | 44:2 | 21:18 51:16 |
| talking 9:13 | tenants 20:2 | thing 25:11 | 52:5 59:13 |
| 14:8 25:8 | tenth 5:570:2 | 27:6 35:14,18 | 69:9 72:1 |
| 41:23 50:2 | terminal 18:7,7 | 58:17 64:15 | thoughts 4:11 |
| 59:16 69:12 | 18:11,13 19:2 | 67:1,7 70:20 | 67:20 |
| 71:24 | 19:18 20:7 | 71:774:16 | three 5:18,18 |
| tall 66:11 | 56:24 62:24 | things 7:5 20:8 | 6:24 11:1 75:9 |
| taller 72:17 | terms 30:2 | 29:17 36:7 | 75:18 |
| taper 20:15 | 44:14 45:3,14 | 40:17 42:9 | thumb 60:5 |
|  | 48:18 55:11 | 43:3 50:19 |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { thursday } 1: 7 \\ & \text { tide } 47: 19 \\ & \text { tie } 32: 662: 22 \\ & \text { tiebacks } 10: 8 \\ & \text { tiffany } 2: 21 \\ & \text { time } 4: 10,13 \\ & 5: 77: 1521: 4 \\ & \text { 29:8 32:13 } \\ & \text { 33:6 44:1 } \\ & 46: 23,2451: 10 \\ & 53: 1057: 4,6 \\ & \text { 62:16 } \end{aligned}$ | touching 15:21 39:5,7 <br> tourists 58:16 <br> towards 14:23 <br> traffic 35:9 <br> 61:24 <br> transcriber <br> 78:1 <br> transcript 78:3 78:5 <br> transcriptionist 77:8 | $42: 23$ 43:5 $45: 2046: 3,9$ $46: 11,12,18$ $48: 8,1950: 1$ $50: 1056: 3$ $58: 1466: 7,11$ $73: 18,22$ tremendous $70: 19$ tried $14: 20$ $31: 20$ tries $30: 10$ tre $77: 978: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { tweak } 44: 5 \\ \text { twenty } 24: 9,9 \\ \text { two } 9: 21 \quad 13: 18 \\ 30: 4 ~ 53: 17 \\ 54: 10 \\ 55: 9 \\ 59: 15 \quad 70: 14 \\ 72: 16,17 \\ \text { type } 23: 9 \\ 63: 2 \\ \text { types } 34: 17 \\ \text { typewriting } \\ 77: 7 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $51: 6$ |  | true 77:9 | u |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { times } 51: 1 \\ 63: 21 & 74: 14 \end{array}$ | transformed 58:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 733: 19 \\ & 34: 1853: 8 \end{aligned}$ | ultimately <br> 50:13 57:20 <br> under 58:7,12 |
| today 6:17 11:2 | treatmen | 58:3 64:9 | undergoing |
| 11:5 12:15 | 54:17 56:1 | trying 16:11 | 62:5 |
| 25:8 60:24 | treatments | 17:1 19:22 | underground |
| together 4:13 | 11:20 34:5 | 21:12 22:4 | 24:13 26:24 |
| 4:16 69:25 | 56:7 | 24:5 29:17 | 42:9 |
| tonight 5:2,5 | tree 16:4 27:3 | 38:3 45:25 | underneath |
| 5:18 | 45:24 46:13 | 46:6 52:22 | 24:18,21 25:1 |
| tonight's 5:14 | 47:12 48:4,6 | 55:16 63:22 | 25:12 26:7 |
| took 64:20 | 48:13,16 50:4 | 64:1,3,7,12 | 48:10 58:9 |
| top 17:9 23:11 | 50:14 64:16,19 | 67:23 | underpass |
| 23:12 24:13 | 64:25 65:3 | tube 25:11,14 | 44:16,21 |
| 25:25 30:8 | 66:18,21 73:16 | 26:1,3 | understand |
| 32:23 58:19 | 75:10 | tunnel 24:20 | 14:9 18:24 |
| topic 75:16,16 | trees 8:12 10:9 | 44:17,18 | 24:2 55:12,15 |
| topics 6:24,24 | 13:19 15:5 | turn 4:23 21:16 | 56:5,16 57:2 |
| 7:18:875:18 | 16:1,13,18 | turner 8:1 | 58:24,25 59:2 |
| topple 48:13 | 20:23 21:19,20 | turtleneck | 59:9 63:4 64:6 |
| total 51:5 | 21:20,24 27:1 | 71:15 | understanding |
|  | 27:4,22 31:22 |  | 46:25 59:18 |


| 73:19 | upper 21:15 | vesey 19:1 20:3 | w |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unidentified | upstate 64:8 | 21:16 | wagner 70:1,25 |
| :23 30:13 | urban 56:2 | 62:22 | wait 7:19 45:8 |
| 32:1 33:8,24 | usable 58:14 | vice $4: 7$ | walk 11:16 |
| 35:24 37:4,6 | usage 24:3 | view 12:7,8 | 12:25 13:6 |
| 37:17 39:2,9 | use 6:8 30:19 | 13:24 31:23,23 | 21:11 22:1 |
| 39:16,18 42:21 | 31:3 36:15 | 32:22 51:24 | 27:18 42:8 |
| 43:18 44:6,7 | 52:21 53:6 | 53:1,2,9 56:5 | walking 13:7,8 |
| 46:17,22 47:3 | 59:13 | views 10:11 | 63:22 72:17 |
| 47:9 49:3,9,25 | used 24:5 33:2 | 12:15 16:18,19 | walks 5:12 |
| 51:14,23 52:2 | 36:10,16 38:18 | 19:24 20:1,2 | walkthrough |
| 54:2,6 55:6,17 | user 13:18 17:3 | 20:22 24:4 | 7:6 |
| 56:22 58:4,11 | uses 20:2 21:14 | 28:7 30:11 | walkthroughs |
| 59:7,17,21 | 35:2 | 31:15 32:18,18 | 40:19 |
| 61:2,5 62:1,9 | using 6:18 | 50:1 51:19 | wall 16:25 17:9 |
| 63:16 65:6,23 | 32:16 | 54:14 56:2 | 17:10,15,16 |
| 66:18,23 67:1 | usually 48:23 | 59:1 63:10 | 18:1,3,25 19:6 |
| 67:3,10,13,22 | utility 42:10,13 | virtual 75:16 | 19:8,17,20 |
| 68:15,18 69:10 | v | virtually 6:17 | 23:11,12,19 |
| 9:20 71:17 | valentina $2: 6$ | $\begin{array}{r}33: 1875: 15 \\ \text { visible } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 26:9 27:12 |
| 72:4,7 73:23 unintelligible | valuable 10:10 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { visible } & 36: 10 \\ \text { vision } & 67: 17\end{array}$ | 30:23,24 32:23 |
| unintelligible $30: 13 \text { 39:2,9 }$ | valued 10:12 | vision 67:17 visit 6.25 | 37:7,7,9,10,14 |
| 73:23 | 27:25 | $525$ | 37:16,18 38:17 |
| nique 9:2 22:2 | vangani $2: 16$ | $\text { visual } 18: 24$ | 38:18,22 40:22 |
| 28:20 34:25 | vaults 42:9 | visuals 34:13 | 41:11,19 47:4 |
| united 49:15 | vegetation | volkan 3:5 | $48: 7,12,14,16$ $48: 16: 50: 10$ |
| universal 13:22 | 7:23 28:15 | volleyball | 53:6 55:23 |
| $3: 2$ 26:16 | vegetative 23:7 | 8:11 59:4 | 71:22 72:9,17 |
| $\text { update } 4: 65: 6$ $5: 16.19 .25$ | vehicles 63:3 |  | 72:22 73:10 |
| 5:16,19,25 updated $5: 21$ | vehicular 35:9 | vulnerability | walling 56:4 |
| updated 5:21 <br> updates 5:16 | venture 8:1 | 9:20 | walls 15:20 |
|  | verify 57:5 |  | 34:11,12 51:15 |
| uploaded 76:3 | versus 71:8 |  | 51:17 55:19 |
|  | 73:3 |  | 72:21 |


| want 4:9 5:3 | waters 37:12 | went 17:16,17 | wondered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6:7,10,10 9:6 | 38:15 39:1 | 57:18 58:23 | 56:10 |
| 9:14,16 11:19 | way 10:21 | 68:25 69:8 | wonderful 15:9 |
| 14:23 15:6,9 | 18:10 25:24,25 | west 12:5 24:20 | 27:17 70:5 |
| 19:15 20:7 | 26:1,1 29:14 | 41:10,18,23,24 | 71:3 |
| 23:1 26:18 | 33:14 40:8 | 41:24 42:1,4,4 | wong 3:18 |
| 30:19 31:2 | 49:11 50:2 | 42:7 51:18 | word 52:5 |
| 33:7,10 35:4 | 54:16 60:19 | 56:13,25 57:7 | work 11:15 |
| 36:21 38:13 | 63:9 64:3,6,8 | 57:11,14 61:22 | 36:4 38:11 |
| 40:14,20 43:25 | 73:4 | 65:9,13 66:1,2 | 42:10 44:3 |
| 44:1 48:9,11 | ways $24: 12$ | 68:8 72:10,13 | 58:3,6,12 |
| 48:14 50:13 | we've $4: 137: 3$ | 74:24 | 60:22 64:10,23 |
| 53:23 61:18 | $8: 8,10,16,25$ | whacked 35:11 | 70:23 |
| 62:13,16 63:12 | 9:2,9,10,12 | whatnot $72: 10$ | worked 18:9 |
| 63:14 67:4,5 | 10:6 12:4,6,10 | 73:6 | 23:11 69:25 |
| 67:11 69:21 | 14:7,19,20 | white 3:23 | 70:3 |
| 71:5 72:23 | 16:16 18:5,5 | 20:10 | working 4:15 |
| 74:18 | 18:20 19:3,21 | width 52:6,23 | 5:8,9 42:6,12 |
| wanted 14:22 | 19:21 22:5,13 | 60:13 | worries 54:1 |
| 50:20 58:2 | 26:12,12,14,16 | wilkins 3:13 | worrying 54:12 |
| 61:16 | 36:15,16 43:25 | 33:10 34:13 | worth 58:17 |
| wants 45:19 | 48:20 53:8,15 | 36:23,25 37:21 | write 33:7 |
| watch 11:6 | 57:25 58:3 | 41:8,16 45:18 | written 6:18 |
| water 13:6,7,8 | 59:11 60:8 | 46:14 50:24 | wsb 8:3 |
| 14:1 23:14 | 64:21 75:1 | 59:14,20 60:1 | y |
| 24:19,25 25:18 | website 70:19 | 61:4,7,11 62:4 | yargici 3:5 |
| 28:21 29:1 | 76:4 | 62:11 66:16,20 | yeah 29:15,15 |
| 36:6 37:15 | weigh 67:6 | 66:25 68:14,17 | $37: 2,6,1939: 4$ |
| 47:19 53:4 | 69:11 | 73:7,25 | $39: 4,1741: 3$ |
| 55:22 56:2 | welcome $4: 4$ | winter 22:22 | 43:14,22 44:7 |
| 57:15 68:19 | 10:1 57:21 | 23:24 | 46:15 47:6,6 |
| 73:2 | 58:1 | withstand | $49: 851: 24,25$ |
| water's 31:14 | welcomed | 66:13 | 52:3,4 61:7 |
| waterfront | 41:13,21 | witness 77:4 | 64:13 67:3 |
| 22:21 63:3 |  |  | 68:8,17 71:25 |


| [year - zoom] |
| :--- |
| year $\quad 9: 21 \quad 21: 8$  <br> years $38: 10$  <br> $69: 25$ $73: 1$ <br> york $1: 13$ $42: 14$ <br> $44: 14$ $77: 21$ <br> youth $60: 5$  <br> yup $29: 21$  <br> $71: 23,24$ $72: 7$ <br> $72: 7$  |
| zone $40: 2,3$ |
| $49: 22$ |
| zoom $6: 19$ |
| $14: 10$ |

