Review of North/West Battery Park City Project Suggested Alignment Alternative

The North/West Battery Park City Resiliency ("N/WBPCR") Project design team has received, among the comments submitted by the public in response to the Project alignment preferred by BPCA and the design team and designated in the 30% Project design, a concept for an alignment alternative within Reaches 3 and 4 of the Project Area (the "Suggested Alternative"). Based upon the design team's consideration and analysis of alignment alternatives, including the potential viability of the Suggested Alternative, it has concluded that the Suggested Alternative poses challenges and creates conditions that run counter to the objectives of the N/WBPCR Project. In summary:

- COSTS & CONSTRUCTION IMPACT OF ALERNATIVE PROPOSAL: The current alignment is approximately 150 feet in length across the west side of the Irish Hunger Memorial ("IHM"). The Suggested Alternative would require the length of the alignment to be approximately 600 feet, or four times longer than that contemplated by the current design, with an associated increase in capital cost and construction duration.
- ALIGNMENT OPENINGS / CLOSURE GATES, SIGHT LINE IMPACTS & PEDESTRIAN FLOW: The
 current alignment entails one opening (with a closure gate) located in front of the IHM on the
 west side, which minimizes sight line impacts to the users of the plaza while providing the
 required storm protection. The Suggested Alternative would require at least three (3) and as
 many as five (5) new openings (with closure gates) to break up contiguous walls along River
 Terrace, Vesey Street, and North End Avenue for public access. This would increase the project
 costs and restrict movement of pedestrian flow around and into the IHM.
- AESTHETIC IMPACTS, GREENSPACE & CONNECTION TO PARK: The impact of the current
 alignment was designed to minimize aesthetic impacts to public space and maintain much of the
 plaza in front of the IHM, while conforming to the existing wall elements on the upland side of
 the Lily Pond. The Suggested Alternative along River Terrace would entail a more significant
 disruption than the current alignment and would interrupt Citi Bike parking and/or restrict River
 Terrace Street width.

Moreover, the Suggested Alternative along Vesey Street would require the removal of greenspace and likely require the removal of trees within the traversed lawn area. The Suggested Alternative along North End Avenue would also represent a significant disruption to the familiar street-side connection and visibility of and entrance to the IHM from North End Avenue

• CONNECTION TO PARK, PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE & TRAFFIC FLOW: North of IHM, the current alignment on the west side of River Terrace was selected to conform to the existing wall that runs in a north-south direction, representing a minimal impact to the public space. The Suggested Alternative, by contrast, shifts the alignment from the west side of the sidewalk, along the park's edge to an area that seems to be in the roadbed, either by placing it in the current parking lane or within a widened sidewalk. This approach would present significant complications, including crossing multiple water mains, power lines, and sewer lines, and (depending on placement) could still result in the removal of street trees. These increased roadway/sidewalk and utility impacts would lead to longer construction duration and greater capital cost.

Additionally, narrowing the existing street would not necessarily eliminate the issue of placard parking; it could in fact exacerbate it by further limiting the width of the roadbed for residential parking, deliveries trucks, loading / unloading, and through traffic. A flood barrier in the street or on a widened sidewalk would also result in a corridor effect along the west side of River Terrace, in which the pedestrian would experience a wall on either side while walking along the sidewalk. Users of the park would have to pass two parallel walls to enter or exit it, and at certain points walk a block or more in either direction simply to access the west side sidewalk. This could lead to a disruption in normal park access for residents and visitors to the buildings along River Terrace and would represent a significant departure from these residents' experience with the park as they know it today. In addition, the construction activity required for the Suggested Alternative – while temporary – would represent a significant increase over that required to implement the current alignment. Moreover, the Suggested Alternative would not guarantee that construction within and a partial closure of the park would be avoided, thus potentially obviating any assumed benefit in that regard, since the impacts of an extended closure of River Terrace would need to be considered.

SUBSURFACE UTILITIES AT 300 VESEY & SEWER OUTFALL: The Suggested Alternative would
involve a substantial impact to utilities that are adjacent to, and that feed, 300 Vesey Street.
Relative to the N/WBPCR Project Area as a whole, the concentration of utilities in the northwest
corner of 300 Vesey is significant, as the building has buried existing telecommunications
infrastructure. While unclear whether relocation of these existing subsurface
telecommunications duct banks is remotely possible, it is clear that, even if possible, such a
relocation effort would result in a substantial escalation of construction duration and cost.

As significantly, there is a 96-inch sewer outfall that would be impacted by the Suggested Alternative, and this would require reconstruction of the pipe and likely an additional tide gate. This assumes the highly improbable possibility that reconstruction of the sewer outfall could be determined to be feasible and that it would receive the necessary approvals. All of these utility impacts would significantly increase construction duration and cost.

CURRENT PROJECT ALIGNMENT & PROTECTION OF THE IRISH HUNGER MEMORIAL: Finally, the
current alignment, running along the water side of the IHM, provides the benefit of protecting
the memorial from coastal storms and future sea level rise. The IHM has programming on the
first floor, including electrical equipment that would be damaged if flooding were to occur.

For the reasons enumerated above, namely: 1) the significant adverse impacts to construction cost and duration; 2) associated intensification of adverse community impacts; 3) undesired impacts to the area's aesthetics, pedestrian circulation, and connections to the park; 4) the substantial, if not insurmountable challenges related to subsurface infrastructure; and 5) the fact that the sheer number and extent of the adverse impacts associated with the Suggested Alternative would render the potential mitigation of any one or more of them ineffective in adequately mitigating the overall adverse impact of the approach, the North/West Battery Park City Resiliency Project design team does not consider the Suggested Alternative to be viable and considers further consideration or analysis of such an approach to be unjustified.



The following slides are an alternative alignment option that the public would like to be meaningfully considered. It was discussed during the Feb 2023 workshops and confirmed that this approach had not been considered yet.

Key information that is still needed is details on the wall height.

This option may provide minimal disruption to the park character and the green infrastructure throughout Rockefeller and the Duck Pond. Please note this was created in PPT by a community member with no design background so the alignments are rough estimates.





















