

**Project: Pier A Railing Restoration/Rehabilitation:  
General Contractor Services –  
Request for Proposals (“RFP”)**

**Date: June 7, 2023**

**RE: Addendum #2  
# of Pages: 2**

---

**BPCA’S RESPONSE TO SUBSTANTIVE QUESTIONS:**

The following responses (the “Responses”) are provided to questions (“Questions”) received by Battery Park City Authority (“BPCA”) by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on May 31, 2023, in connection with this RFP for the Pier A Railing Restoration/Rehabilitation Project: General Contractor Services (the “Project”). The Responses are provided in bold, italicized print immediately following the Questions. Please note that unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms shall have the same definitions as provided in the RFP.

- 1) With regard to the existing life preservers attached to the guardrails, please clarify who will be responsible for their removal, storage, and reinstallation during the project  
***BPCA will be responsible for the removal, storage, and re-installation of the life preservers.***
- 2) Please clarify whether or not we will be able to close sections of the pier or the entire pier during the duration of the work, especially when sections of the guardrail are removed  
***Prior to the start of the Project’s construction, the selected Proposer will install construction fencing at the landside entrances to the pedestrian promenade surrounding the Pier A building in order to preclude public access during performance of the Project. Such fencing shall not create a barrier to the main entrance of the Building. A revision to the Project’s Construction Documents to include the scope and specifications for such construction fencing will be provided via a separate/forthcoming addendum.***
- 3) Will there be access to the building during working hours in order to:
  - a. Access the electrical panel(s)  
***BPCA will provide and coordinate access to the electrical panel(s) on an as-needed/as-requested basis.***
  - b. Use of bathrooms  
***No – access to a restroom within the Building will not be provided. The Selected Proposer will be responsible for providing portable toilet(s) for its crew.***
  - c. Storage of tools and equipment  
***No – access to the Building for the Selected Proposer’s storage of tools and equipment will not be provided. As indicated in the Project Scope of Work, BPCA will provide a lay-down area within the vicinity of the Project Site.***
- 4) Will we have access to power and water?  
***It is recommended that, to ensure uninterrupted access to electrical service at the Project Site, the Selected Proposer provide and use a generator for power during the performance of the Work. The Selected Proposer will have access to water from the ground hydrants by the planters situated in the vicinity of the Building.***
- 5) Will on-site parking be provided?  
***No – on-site parking will not be provided.***

- 6) Are there current electrical as-builts for the exiting lighting? (For example, on drawing E-3 towards the center of the Pier A building, is the current field condition for lighting circuits #17 and #9 accurate as they run “under” the building?)

***Any existing electrical as-builts will be provided to the Selected Proposer following contract execution. Regarding the referenced example, the drawings provided to the Project Design Engineer by BPCA showed the lighting conduits running under the building in the location addressed by drawing E-3, however this could not be confirmed during the Engineer’s site survey. If the condition in the field is found to differ from the drawings during the prosecution of the Work, the Selected Proposer should immediately bring it to the attention of BPCA.***

- 7) Is it acceptable to run 1 pipe sized appropriately around the perimeter (including the depicted J boxes) as opposed to running conduit under the Pier A building?

***Proposers should submit a base Proposal which does not deviate from the conduit routing shown and detailed in the Construction Documents (constituting Exhibit B of the RFP). However, should Proposers desire to include an additional, alternate means of routing the conduit, BPCA is willing consider such an alternative if it is shown to be feasible and provide a better overall value to BPCA. Proposers should include alternate pricing in their Cost Proposals for any such alternate routing approach.***

- 8) Is there a cut/spec for the exterior junction boxes? (Other than NEMA 4X, 304 stainless steel found in spec). Specifically size?

***Specification Section 260533 – “Raceways and Boxes for Electrical Systems” of the Construction Documents (constituting Exhibit B of the RFP) include information on the sizes and quantities of junction boxes needed to formulate an accurate Proposal for the Project.***

- 9) For the LMFC connectors, there are 3 options at 3 very different price points according to NEMA FB 2.20; Please advise which one should be used:
- a.) malleable iron/zinc electroplate finish.
  - b.) hot dip mechanically galvanized.
  - c.) stainless steel.

***Stainless steel connectors (Item c., above) should be used for the LMFC connectors.***

---

By signing the line below, I am acknowledging that all pages of this Addendum #2 have been received, reviewed and understood, and will be incorporated into the Proposal submitted. This document must be attached to the Proposal for consideration.

---

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Number of pages received: \_\_\_\_\_ <fill in>

Distributed to: All prospective Proposers