



General Comments	<p>Owners, management and Parks have different perspectives, all must be considered</p> <p>This is a place used by all kinds of people, it is beautiful, it needs to be universally accessible.</p> <p>Not enough space in the summer, don't try to make Reach 6 as active as Rockefeller Park</p> <p>Lots of lunchtime workers</p> <p>People talking to neighbors</p> <p>How about a community garden? Or something connecting with the neighborhood?</p> <p>Resembles to old NY feeling (aesthetics, buildings, planting style, even the walls)</p> <p>Flexible amount of batches</p> <p>Will the main path remain open during construction?</p> <p>Does anything happen to the playground?</p> <p>Prevent water coming in from east side. Is there a plan in place to do that?</p> <p>Why is the gap in Reach 7, 30 feet wide and in Reach 6, 50 feet (referring to street entrances)?</p> <p>Circled yes to habitat</p> <p>Circulation: An upper needs to be preoccupied</p> <p>Circulation: Lower & Upper have depth</p> <p>Programming: No need to attract new visitors, but keep existing look and feel</p> <p>South Cove very inviting</p> <p>Appreciate variety at each street end!</p> <p>No interest in new programs</p> <p>expansive, more open than other street ends</p> <p>People like and use elevated room, used for picnics</p> <p>Raise the esplanade</p> <p>South end is soft and to make that marrow is loss</p> <p>Doesn't want to copy little island</p>
Brookfield	<p>Will this section integrate with South Cove and Brookfield?</p> <p>Loves the explore option from R6 A but in front of Brookfield</p> <p>Good idea for Brookfield plaza, explore option</p>
Outreach	<p>Asking questions related to Reach 5 and frustrated that that chance to discuss has passed</p> <p>Attendee unable to see drawings and find where we are, would prefer on boards or presentations in a way all can see, feel info is being kept from them in this format</p> <p>We need models/boards with images so everyone can see</p> <p>Booklets are not user friendly</p> <p>What's there today is working well, new design will be very different. Make people aware that there might be no tree cover for a while after construction (also comment for Reach 7)</p> <p>Is the schedule for meetings with each building available?</p> <p>How will you consult each individual building in the area and its residents?</p>
Maintain existing features	<p>Retain the existing texture of the park.</p> <p>Character is uniquely Battery Park City – Not like others! Don't want to replicate what has been done elsewhere</p> <p>Everything is currently "old fashioned" but beloved</p> <p>Keep it a charming passageway</p> <p>Appreciated design elements of the Battery and wish those could be incorporated</p> <p>Streetlamps and other lighting along paths are critical in summer – will these be kept?</p> <p>Esplanade Plaza- wonderful space! Heavily used- don't touch it</p> <p>Programming closer to what already exists</p> <p>Access Points: Keep Esplanade Plaza as is</p> <p>Don't change Esplanade Plaza- heavily used</p>
Water features	<p>Fountain and water feature does not need to exist here – already in existing parks</p> <p>If possible make the water play usable more than just from late spring – early fall (maybe combine with other use/functionality)</p> <p>Love the Water Play, but concerns with O&M</p> <p>Will it be ADA accessible? With regard to water feature- at the Battery there is a water feature that got flooded and it took a long time to repair and a lot of money. – something to consider.</p> <p>Already a water feature in playground in north cove (it's great)</p>
	<p>We already have play spaces – don't need more</p> <p>Would like to keep shade in summer along the path</p> <p>There was a preference for nature-based solutions, such as porous ground, increased planted area and permeable surfaces to deal with excess run-off.</p>

<p>Green features</p>	<p>Geography – nature not concrete Preference is to keep the volleyball courts and the multi-use flexible nature of esplanade plaza</p> <p>Preference for passive green spaces over programmed elements that may cause noise near residences Preference for design elements which would foster pedestrian comfort and cooling properties like shade, greenery, cooling environment, etc. Illustrations depict immersive greenery and added plant life – do these add to sustainability of the area or provide flood resiliency? Can these provide natural drainage? Can trees be used that do not release as much pollen. Less Linden or London trees? More attractive for birds and habitat would be nice Planting- ensure planting is performing well during storms Residents like the idea of mimicking nature. Make it look more attractive Plans for vegetation- Think about this transition Weaving paths + planting = overgrowth. Need breeze, water views Do not want forest pathways. No green at high traffic areas More lawn for kids! Not opposed to raising path, appreciate extra green space Likes the existing open area and tranquil/ gathering spot nature Likes the green spaces and sherbet R6 option 6 Preference for as much green space as possible to replace lost trees New plantings should be water-resistant Desire for low-key, quiet, natural space</p>
<p>Bike/Pedestrian</p>	<p>Meandering path and elevation changes could deter cyclists No desire for dedicated bike path Public uses the pathways for running, walking children in strollers, kids learning to bike or scooter Would the secondary or main path be used by serious bikers and runners? Are there design elements to discourage bikers from esplanade to make it safer? Would prefer secondary path and curves to be for slower movers Ability to walk along (lower) esplanade during construction in the upper esplanade is appealing Bike riders may find meandering path more challenging and thus be discouraged and not use it -which is a good thing to keep them on the lower esplanade). Skateboard- less skateboard friendly Secondary path should be wider (bike issue) Remove bikes from esplanade Currently too much traffic on esplanade, especially bikes. Can they be moved elsewhere? Concern about scooters and e-bikes How can conflicts with bikes be minimized? Would the proposed affect circulation</p>
<p>Safety/Accessibility</p>	<p>Currently there are safety concerns with bikers on these paths The Esplanade paths are some of the only areas kids can walk or scooter more freely and be safe, would like to preserve that Concerns about mobility for the disabled in motorized wheelchairs or reclined bikes – hard to move safely if other fast-moving vehicles in space Access Points: Make sure wheelchair and stroller accessible</p> <p>Upper Esplanade- there is an issue with wheelchair and stroller access to the three stairs in entry points Access is a big part of the conversation Concern about conflict between kids and cyclists. Need clear separation. Day care/school needs access for emergency exists. Curious about universal accessibility of slope for stroller and wheelchairs Safety issues with secondary path lighting, benches, bikes Deployable windows in the floodwall needed at the restaurant</p>
<p>Appearance/Views</p>	<p>Add glass walls wherever possible versus solid Current Tenants (i.e. daycare at corner of R6 and R7) may or may not be the same in the future. Preserving all options with maximum light and views. Restaurants space need views so use slide in gate. Pergola and views are unique Wide platform with water absorbing low landscape to retain view Feel that the crowding of trees blocks the view of the river</p>

Seating/Steps	<p>Preference is to reuse existing seating where possible, and not to lose existing seating capacity. Recessing seating from the walking path into the planting beds was viewed favorably to preserve circulation width.</p> <p>How well is seating used? Some of the seating in the set-backs is not used at all.</p> <p>There are too many benches along the lower esplanade (water edge)</p> <p>Water Edge Benches mostly used by Tourists</p> <p>80 % of current seating seems ok as future seating</p> <p>Real Benches preferred</p> <p>Has there been an assessment of how many benches are in the area and how they are used/if they are all needed?</p> <p>Would like benches near enough to see water and benches farther away to have shade and use space better</p> <p>Are the benches new?</p> <p>Seating along wall to enjoy view</p> <p>Play Plaza- benches along the water are used and good to have</p> <p>Benches are used a lot</p> <p>Wide path for 2 double wide areas of seating.</p> <p>This street end could be improved - softer, better seating, more planting</p> <p>Misting at seating areas for summer?</p> <p>No platform seating - keep same park feeling</p> <p>Existing openings are intimate, wonderful places to sit</p> <p>Doesn't want to see a reduction in benches</p> <p>Seating is important, bench with backs or lounge chairs preferred</p>
Traffic/Street/Parking	<p>Meandering is great but concern with traffic</p> <p>How to connect the traffic function with entertainment</p>
Flood Barrier System	<p>How tall does the wall go by the dog run/park? -</p> <p>Trouble understanding the height of the walls from these depictions</p> <p>Would like to see a visual showing the same angle in the existing conditions and proposed images and including a wall to see what will be visible</p> <p>How thick is the wall around this area?</p> <p>Do the gates in this area slide or roll?</p> <p>Will a flood on the east side come over to the west side? Mentioned the other resiliency projects. What happens at the route 9A underpass? DOT made a presentation to the CB a while ago. What is the status?</p> <p>Can water bypass the flood barrier? Are the other plans (resiliency) weak spots in the flood defense?</p> <p>Keep the gates at Albany, Rector and West Thames as wide as possible. Do not make them less than 30 feet wide.</p> <p>Designed to be floodable</p> <p>Will secondary path include greenery that will serve as landscape flooding or use oyster beds for resiliency</p> <p>Make sure flooding system is up to date making sure the platform will assist.</p>
Construction	<p>Ability to walk along (lower) esplanade during construction in the upper esplanade is appealing</p> <p>Minimize construction and impact</p> <p>What's the anticipated phasing of construction</p> <p>Group was most concerned about construction impacts (truck traffic, noise, loss of access to amenities) and costs, generally over design considerations ("I'll take the uglier option is construction is quicker").</p>
Cost	<p>Very cost conscious comments from BPC homeowners coalition, preferring the least costly option - If meandering costs more than straight, prefer to stick to the original. This is a flood control project, stay to the core and do not spend funds on non-flood control improvements.</p> <p>Reusing the benches and other accessories is preferred for reducing costs -</p> <p>Asphalt pavers are preferred not only for their cost efficiency but also easy to replace and they do better in icy conditions.</p>
Bioswale	<p>Bioswale is great, but harder to maintain</p> <p>Residents liked the bioswales as drainage/play/learning element feature</p> <p>What is this? Referring to the rendering- response by technical lead-it is a bioswale to collect stormwater</p>
	<p>Yes, Native Path</p> <p>Up and down along meander path is not preferred</p> <p>Like the curves of meandering path</p> <p>More nooks – less curves</p> <p>Higher elevation to align with views</p> <p>Not important to maintain a line</p>

Path Alignment	<p>Concern that design may disturb the path – throughput remains important</p> <p>Is there a way to make the meander less monotone?</p> <p>Will the upper walkway be raised</p> <p>Like the raising and lowering of the path – but do not make it too much that it challenges the elderly and the people who used wheelchairs or strollers.</p> <p>Community members liked the idea of a second pathway, curved design may be better</p> <p>Like meandering path, similar to South Cove</p> <p>Why can't the path be wider at expense of the proposed plantings</p>
W. Thames Street	<p>Needs to be prettier – activation OK</p> <p>Consider creating a gathering space for seniors</p> <p>Sitting Stance will be behind the flood wall and this will make it even less attractive/safe than now</p> <p>W. Thames is “disgusting” currently, lots of room for improvement, lots of garbage</p> <p>Not working well</p> <p>Unused</p> <p>Trash</p> <p>No front doors</p> <p>Not much to preserve there</p> <p>Art is nice- needs a lot of improvement</p> <p>W Thames could be improved and consider repurposing the art piece or place with something smaller</p>
Rector Place	<p>logical for activation – don't like the grass area</p> <p>Rector Place street-end very well used</p> <p>Don't like lawn concept at Rector Place</p> <p>Rector Place entry lawn at intersection could obstruct water view, preserve width of vistas rather than narrowing it to 30 feet</p> <p>Prefer wider gate at Rector Place</p> <p>Consider tables</p> <p>Residential front doors- Wide opening is preferred.</p> <p>High pedestrian traffic?</p> <p>Rail track issue?- but roller might be better- less invasive</p> <p>Hudson Residents- Programming that is closed to existing is preferred</p> <p>Opposed to the lawn spaces at rector street end as that is already the busiest intersection</p> <p>Likes the lawn idea for rector particularly for kids</p>
Upper Room	<p>We like the upper room – its ART not regular benches</p> <p>Seating facing each other is important part of</p> <p>Why is the upper room not savable? Show us more</p> <p>Concerns about fate of Upper Room</p> <p>Clarify the status of the upper room- can it be saved?</p> <p>Upper room art – does it go away? What happens to it?</p> <p>Street Ends: Upper room heavily used, large opening for access</p>
Upper Path	<p>Create set back protected spaces for group gathering, facing each other if you must replace it</p> <p>The upper circulation path needs to be wider than 8-feet, however there is interest in considering integrating open-grided planted paver systems at the edges, etc.</p> <p>Upper Path: Wider Walkway</p> <p>Upper Path: Running/bike/fast + view at the water- works well because it's wide</p> <p>Strollers + dog walkers (slower) the only safe route</p> <p>Already overcrowded- It doesn't need new programming to attract more people</p> <p>World fair benches are very comfortable</p> <p>No need to connect paths too often</p> <p>Don't make it more narrow</p> <p>Immersive Nature Path with Seating</p> <p>Maybe a nature based water feature? Subtle.</p> <p>No playground</p> <p>Seats use carpet style (opposed to rock bench)</p> <p>Low trees so not to block apartment views</p> <p>Love sense of nature here (includes lower path)</p>
Gateway	<p>Do not block existing windows views – low walls with glass tops</p> <p>Gateway entrance heavily frequented, especially in the morning</p> <p>Gateway apartments hanging over: will these be affected? (Response: Upper levels not affected, will reconstruct wall to 7 feet high)</p> <p>Maintain visibility view</p> <p>Gateway flooded during superstorm Sandy</p>

Gateway	<p>Drainage- issues at gateway</p> <p>During the week- residents and workers/ weekends- visitors</p> <p>Well used restaurant</p> <p>Prioritize preserving views</p> <p>Love hex patterns!</p> <p>Canopy- preference for more natural than ornamental</p>
Albany	<p>Most of the public did not like current design of street end at Albany</p> <p>Albany Street: Sit out and look at the view- very inviting</p> <p>Heavily used amongst family and teens</p> <p>Wide opening to look out</p> <p>Albany St end can be improved, art takes up a lot of space. Hard to sit/picnic at.</p> <p>Flip gate at Albany; redesign seating and green</p>
Cafes, restaurants, & other commercial businesses	<p>Cafes – no – already in the Battery</p> <p>Restaurants are currently landlocked</p> <p>Café is not essential & concerns about maintenance of water feature</p> <p>Restaurant ok, but air ventilation system is not good (smell, noise)</p> <p>No cafes</p> <p>No commercial space</p> <p>No cafe, existing businesses are struggling</p> <p>No!!! commercial cafe</p> <p>No commercial</p> <p>Doesn't want cafe or fountain, doesn't think street ends needs to be parks</p> <p>Doesn't want commercial space along the park</p> <p>Doesn't think commercial space can survive well in the area</p> <p>Concerned about rising commercial rent as result of trying to finance this project. Has been disappointment in community with rising vacancies</p> <p>Cafes not desired (bring rodents and trash), but restaurants good</p>
Naturally Occurring Retirement Community	<p>Parts of BPCA are designated as Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities – how will their needs be met? Needs of seniors align well with needs of young families. Currently not seeing future proofing from a social perspective</p> <p>BPCA soon to be designated as NORC and aging in place – how are their concerns being considered as well as the significant overlap in the needs for seniors and young families?</p>
Artwork	<p>Save the artwork</p> <p>What happens to existing art at street ends?</p> <p>Curious if public art along street ends will be removed or replaced during construction</p> <p>Public would like to see a range of options for art and entertainment along the area</p> <p>Street level that doesn't – street art (w. Thomas street)</p> <p>Wants to see art and programming at street ends kept in place</p>



Battery Park City Authority

North/West Battery Park City Resiliency Project Reach 7 Comments

General Comments	<p>Question raised whether the residents will be paid for renting somewhere else</p> <p>The daycare's opinion on the new wall height should be solicited as kids at the daycare don't have sightlines currently and the wall may potentially increase available secure play area.</p> <p>Approach to preserve lower part is good</p> <p>The lower wooden platform on the north side of cove has been rebuilt 4 time since 2001</p> <p>Can the public see models? Some of these systems exist across the city - I.e. Hugh Carey model swing gates</p> <p>Can you remind us what is going on in Wagner Park?</p> <p>Is there consistency to approaches across this project, specifically compared to what is being done in Wagner Park at Pier A?</p> <p>Daycare: Location</p> <p>Experience of walking the promenade- south cove reconstruction will be the biggest disturbance</p>
Maintain existing features	<p>Will any previous repair work done by the authority be left as is or redone?</p> <p>Would like to see something that blends into the existing character of BP, no need to recreate</p> <p>Maintain existing aesthetic</p> <p>For apartments with privacy walls and gardens – will those be kept as is or added to?</p>
Outreach	<p>Notification for construction duration should be as early and frequent as possible and should be included as part of lease/ownership agreements.</p> <p>We need transparency to foster good will – we don't feel we have all the info to have an informed opinion. How do the projects link up? We need to understand vocab as a baseline?</p> <p>Can we see side by side: what walls are now and what they will look like when installed?</p> <p>What are you looking for from the public in these meetings? Response: Opinions on options for each reach</p> <p>Attendee keeps asking for more copies of the book of designs to flip through all at once or presentation/posters so all members can see at once</p> <p>General feeling of not having enough information to give input</p> <p>Would like more than just one chance/meeting to discuss each area</p> <p>Feel they lack an understanding of the vocabulary to engage with the proposals</p> <p>Would like to see 3D models or simulation videos to understand function and see how the whole project fits together</p>
North Wall	<p>Full height wall will make the area feel enclosed, don't want to be in 'enclosed' space</p> <p>Partial height wall will preserve views from restaurant</p> <p>We shouldn't negatively impact restaurant, the views make it desirable</p>
South Cove/Lower Esplanade	<p>Maintain as much of existing as possible</p> <p>Maintain water views</p> <p>Existing walkway is unique to BPC</p> <p>What will be the material of the new northern edge of South Cove?</p> <p>South cove is beautiful as long as it lasts.</p> <p>Advocating for nature-based solutions for South Cove</p> <p>Lower wooden platform not heavily used, water access is off, east lower platform not main path, tide coming in and out.</p> <p>Wooden Platform not often used</p> <p>Lower Esplanade: Nice, but people stay in the forest walk not main path</p> <p>Lower Esplanade: Experience the tide coming in and out</p> <p>Lower Esplanade: Consider direct access (touch the water)</p> <p>Lower Esplanade: Recreational access (not touch)</p> <p>High tide and wooden platform concerns. Universal access to the wooden lower-level ramp</p> <p>Quality of soil at south esplanade is poorer than in other areas</p> <p>Lower Esplanade will flood</p> <p>Is south cove more vulnerable than the esplanade?</p> <p>Notes the southern esplanade and east side of BP are the most at risk due to poor soil conditions/fill from the twin towers</p>
2nd Place	<p>At 2nd place – consider providing a wider passage with middle post to gate for 2 way circulation for pedestrians and bikers – concern with pinch point created by the gate</p> <p>Concerns on rails if using a sliding gate, otherwise in-different about the two</p> <p>Wheelchair (concern for rails) & trash</p> <p>Column can replace the existing statue</p> <p>2nd Place gate access- column in the middle of the opening. Swing gate or scroll gate</p> <p>Add an additional piered walkway along the esplanade. High traffic area. Minimal impact; preservation of trees; allowing landscape to flood;</p> <p>integrate nature-based enhancements (e.g., oysters beds, add biodiversity)</p> <p>Adding something outboard of edge for wave attention? 2nd Esplanade</p>
3rd Place	<p>Stop logs are preferred</p> <p>Stop logs if you have the others</p> <p>3rd Place gate access- swing gate or stop blocks with a small opening- involves reconstructing more of the park</p> <p>Replace privacy wall with a resiliency wall. Minimal impact. How much is the service contract for maintaining the flood gates?</p> <p>What happens if you do not do anything? where does the water come to? How much does it cost to always be prepared? electrical/manpower?</p>
Nature features	<p>Make people aware that there might be no tree cover for a while after construction (also comment for Reach 6)</p> <p>Has there been any discussion on the project team of proposing nature based solutions in the water?</p> <p>Public requests that nature-based solutions be put forth for discussion now and incorporated now so that they can be better understood</p> <p>Study adding nature, biodiversity</p> <p>Minimal impact, keep as many trees, add plants</p> <p>Would like to see more enhancement of the south cove with swamp features marshes etc.</p> <p>Wants similar trees from Brookfield to ensure they are</p> <p>In general like the walls being back from the cove in order to protect the existing nature of the cove</p>

Bike/Pedestrian	High traffic area with bikes and pedestrians (pointing to South End Ave view)
Safety/Accessibility	<p>Concerns about wheelchair access through the scroll gate</p> <p>Pet access (stairs) if someone's pet gets caught on wet side?</p> <p>Suggestion to have stairs/ways to escape from the westside to dryside of wall</p>
Appearance/Views	<p>It's important that people in BPC have views to the water to create a sense of space – that's what makes BPC unique and allows people to orient themselves/give directions when they can see the water</p> <p>Tourists look for Statue of Liberty coming from the North, Corner to Reach 6 well frequented</p> <p>Are we adding new walls in place of privacy walls at apartments that have them?</p> <p>Ensure walls are deployable to preserve views around the café / check-in with Montessori school for options on views</p> <p>Dare care: Views might not be as important</p> <p>Café: Views are ++</p> <p>Café customers won't be able to see the water if there is an additional 19ft wall</p> <p>Inquiring on the Montessori school and concerns of views</p> <p>Residents encouraged teams to speak with the building about views</p>
Flood barrier system	<p>A system that can accept future upgrades is preferred</p> <p>Regarding lower esplanade, preference is to accept the water coming in with minimal intrusion, salt water resilient greenery</p> <p>Deployables need to be used sparingly.</p> <p>Where does the raising of the ground (Grade) stop? Consider flip up at South End Avenue if you are already raising the grade.</p> <p>Can flood gates be wider?</p> <p>Functionality should trump everything else!</p> <p>Identify which deployable would be most efficient in loading, construction, and stability</p> <p>Direct access to the water (Barrier free)</p> <p>Feeling that swing gate is best option to balance installation and function</p> <p>Mild preference for roller gate, but no consensus on a preference</p> <p>Like swing gate (more appealing than roller gates). But defer to reliability</p> <p>Interest in making smaller-scale/lighter touch options to reinforce the platform/rock outcropping so that it could be resilient to future storms (i.e. flood but not get destroyed)</p> <p>No concerns with swing gate</p> <p>Roller gates look cleaner and more desirable than the swing gates, don't like central elements of swing gates</p> <p>Understand you can't build out further, is it possible to build additional wave mitigation methods such as an additional walkway, oyster beds etc. to minimize wave impacts? Response: Water is deep so needs larger structures</p> <p>Curious if oysters/grasses are added, can they refine the impact of the wall along this corridor</p> <p>Floating beds/ other strategies to absorb wave impact? Oysters?</p> <p>Questions about 15 feet barrier for tree proximity to floodwalls. In order to get to FEMA certification do generally need to maintain 15 ft barrier</p> <p>Do we have info on current sea level rise?</p> <p>Is this only supposed to last until 2050? Can we do more so it lasts longer? Will the system last?</p> <p>This area did well in Sandy, why do we need to do more?</p> <p>What is sea level rise expected to be?</p> <p>Are you pushing the flood higher to other locations?</p> <p>Who benefits from deployables?</p> <p>Please explain the Flood Elevation</p> <p>Is the design based on the risk of flooding / vulnerability?</p> <p>Do you make it adaptable for flooding?</p> <p>Has the team looked back at historical storm data, damage, and alert systems that were used? Response: Replayed Sandy many times, discuss what decisions need to be made 3-4 days before storm</p> <p>Feeling that systems should be consistent throughout areas e.g. Wagner Park</p> <p>Systems should be consistent so adjacent systems are aligned with Wagner. Helpful to know how these line up.</p>
Wall	<p>need to minimize the wall</p> <p>Flood wall preferred to be at the same height of the privacy walls</p> <p>Anything that can preserve a buildings ground floor would be helpful (wall barrier)</p> <p>Wall at Northern edge of South Cove is dramatic, Deployable wall solution preferable, Restaurant is actually closed,</p> <p>Curious why is such a high wall need trees is already such a high difference. Response: To protect against waves</p> <p>Do all the deployable walls in this reach have to be the same type? Response: No</p> <p>If the wall is further away from the water does that mean it can be lower?</p> <p>How deep underground does the wall have to go?</p> <p>How are you measuring wall height? Response: This depends on land elevation, highest is 19.5 above land</p> <p>What is the highest wall height?</p> <p>Who determines the Flood Wall Height?</p> <p>Would rather see the alignment enhance the privacy wall</p> <p>Keep alignment along privacy wall</p> <p>Residents inquired on the frequency of removal and storage of deployables</p> <p>Where are the walls stored nearby? Who is installing? Response: Biggest challenge is time and organization, not staff</p> <p>How long does it take to install the stop log wall?</p> <p>Can we get an explanation of how partially deployable flood walls (stop logs) work? Installation? Maintenance? Use/function? Response: Stored near location of installation so they can be in place before a storm arrives. Some walls can have sensor for storm and deploy automatically – these are harder to maintain and less reliable. Stoplog (manual installation) is hardest to install, easiest to maintain during non-storm conditions, and most reliable. Balancing operations and maintenance, installation, and function/reliability of options</p>

	<p>How will people be trained to install and set these up?</p> <p>If you have to deploy for a smaller storm can you deploy just a limited amount of stop logs as conditions warrant?</p> <p>There is a garage that is out of business and has a ground lease. The operator walked away. Used to be part of the Parks Conservancy space and is not being used. Can be used for storage of stop logs.</p> <p>When would you close the deployables?</p> <p>Concerns regarding the staffing needs for deployables. Attendees expressed relief when informed that BPCA will be operating the deployables versus city agencies</p> <p>Passive systems and deployables which require less staff to deploy are generally preferred</p> <p>Who will deployable closures? BPCA or buildings?</p> <p>More concerned about the visual impact of the wall; suggests a glass wall</p> <p>Preference for swing-up deployable "windows"</p> <p>Wall should blend into context. Green wall?</p> <p>Glass as option here (pointing to top of wall)</p> <p>Deployable (partial deployable) windows for wall</p> <p>What color would the walls be?</p> <p>Why have you chosen an orange wall?</p> <p>Wall finish preference with irregular shapes to discourage skateboarding, climbing, walking and standing on the wall</p> <p>The wall should be natural colors</p>
Construction/Cost	<p>Less cost better, several comments regarding minimizing costs</p> <p>Group was most concerned about construction impacts (truck traffic, noise, loss of access to amenities) and costs, generally over design considerations ("I'll take the uglier option is construction is quicker")</p> <p>Group was concerned about leaving vulnerable to flooding, but worried that protecting it would mean long construction timeline, high costs, and losing access to the area for a long time</p>
Commercial Space	<p>There was agreement that to preserve the viability of the restaurant, stop logs or few roller gates may make sense</p> <p>Café: Economics to make it viable</p> <p>Group was concerned about impacts of the flood wall on the viability of the restaurant</p>